

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous (Petition) No. 5911/2026
CNR: RJHC010695362026
URN: CRLMP / 10479U / 2026

1. Minakshi Kumari D/o Anad Puri, Aged About 18 Years, Resident Of Dantlawas, Jalore, Rajasthan.
2. Deva Bharti S/o Bhanwar Bharti, Aged About 20 Years, Resident Of Ajbar, Jalore, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Chief Secretary, Ministry Of Home Affairs, Jaipur Rajasthan.
2. The Superintendent Of Police, Jalore
3. The Station House Officer, Of Police Station Jaswantpura, district Jalore.
4. Anad Puri S/o Jabar Puri, Resident Of Dantlawas, Jalore, Rajasthan
5. Lungpuri S/o Jabar Puri, Resident Of Dantlawas, Jalore, Rajasthan
6. Jagdish Puri S/o Jabar Puri, Resident Of Dantlawas, Jalore, Rajasthan
7. Kailash Puri S/o Bhabut Puri, Resident Of Dantlawas, Jalore, Rajasthan
8. Prakash Puri S/o Sav Puri, Resident Of Dantlawas, Jalore, Rajasthan
9. Puran Bharti S/o Poonam Bharti, Resident Of Sarah, Bhinmal, District Jalore.
10. Dev Puri S/o Badal Puri, Resident Of Kirwada, Bhinmal, district Jalore.
11. Prakash Bharti S/o Sav Puri, Resident Of Jeevana, Sayla, District Jalore.
12. Jabar Puri S/o Poonam Puri, Resident Of Morsin, Bagora, district Jalore.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Santosh

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Vikram Singh Rajpurohit, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BALJINDER SINGH SANDHU

Order

17/07/2026

The criminal misc. petition has been preferred by the petitioners under Section 528 of BNSS seeking direction for being provided with adequate security and protection.

The petitioners both being major persons, claim to be in a live in relationship. They submit that they are living with each other against the wishes of their parents and thus, they apprehend threat to their lives at the hands of private respondents. The petitioners allegedly approached the respondent police authorities with a prayer to be provided with adequate protection but no heed has been paid to the request so far.

The documents pertaining to the age of the petitioners and live-in-relationship agreement have been filed on record. Thus, taking cue from the judgment rendered by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Lata Singh Vs. State of U.P.** reported in **AIR 2006 SC 2522**, the prayer made by the petitioners for directing the Superintendent of Police/Commissioner of Police concerned to provide protection to the petitioners deserves to be accepted.

The Superintendent of Police/Commissioner of Police concerned shall have the matter enquired into and if so required, appropriate protection shall be provided to the petitioners as and when warranted. The Superintendent of Police/Commissioner of Police concerned shall ensure that no harm is caused to the petitioners, who are in a live in relationship.

The criminal misc. petition is accordingly disposed of.

(BALJINDER SINGH SANDHU),J