


**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR**



S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous (Petition) No. 5866/2026

CNR: RJHC010692362026

URN: CRLMP / 10409U / 2026

1. Manisha Solanki D/o Shri Murlidhar Solanki, Aged About 26 Years, Resident Of Bypass Fatak, Makarana, Nagaur.
2. Ashish Kumar S/o Shri Ramesh Chand, Aged About 27 Years, Resident Of Jhalaratalaq Makarana District Nagaur.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp
2. Superintendent Of Police, Deedwana Kuchaman
3. Sho, Police Station, Makarana District Deedwana-Kuchaman.
4. Murlidhar Solanki S/o Unknown, Resident Of Resident Of Bypass Fatak, Makarana, District Nagaur.
5. Munni Devi W/o Murlidhar Solanki, Resident Of Resident Of Bypass Fatak, Makarana, District Nagaur.
6. Shyam S/o Murlidhar Solanki, Resident Of Resident Of Bypass Fatak, Makarana, District Nagaur.
7. Jyoti D/o Murlidhar Solanki, Resident Of Resident Of Bypass Fatak, Makarana, District Nagaur.
8. Shilpa D/o Murlidhar Solanki, Resident Of Resident Of Bypass Fatak, Makarana, District Nagaur.
9. Siya D/o Murlidhar Solanki, Resident Of Resident Of Bypass Fatak, Makarana, District Nagaur.
10. Brijesh S/o Ashok, R/o Kishangarh Resident Of Bypass Fatak, Makarana, District Nagaur.
11. Surendra S/o Unknown, R/o Churu Resident Of Bypass Fatak, Makarana, District Nagaur.
12. Sumit S/o Surajmal, R/o Kishangarh Resident Of Bypass Fatak, Makarana, District Nagaur.
13. Ramesh Saini S/o Bhagirath, Near Jhalara Talab Makarana, District Nagaur.
14. Bhagirath Singhodiya S/o Dulichand, Resident Of Makrana, Rajasthan

15. Kailash S/o Bharirath, Resident Of Makrana, Rajasthan

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Talmeez Ahmed

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Vikram Rajpurohit, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BALJINDER SINGH SANDHU

Order

16/07/2026

The criminal misc. petition has been preferred by the petitioners under Section 528 of BNSS seeking direction for being provided with adequate security and protection.

The petitioners both being major persons claim to have performed a love marriage. They submit that the marriage was performed against the wishes of their parents and thus, they apprehend threat to their lives at the hands of private respondents, who are their relatives. The petitioners allegedly approached the concerned respondent authorities, with a prayer to be provided with adequate protection but no heed has been paid to the request so far.

The documents pertaining to the age of the petitioners and the marriage ceremony performed between them have been filed on record. Thus, taking cue from the judgment rendered by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Lata Singh Vs. State of U.P.** reported in **AIR 2006 SC 2522**, the prayer made by the petitioners for directing the concerned respondent authorities to provide protection to the petitioners deserves to be accepted.

The concerned respondent authorities shall have the matter enquired into and if so required, appropriate protection shall be

provided to the petitioners as and when warranted. The concerned respondent authorities shall ensure that no harm is caused to the petitioners, who have performed a love marriage.

The criminal misc. petition is accordingly disposed of.

(BALJINDER SINGH SANDHU),J