

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

सत्यमेव जयते

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR

D.B. Habeas Corpus Petition No. 439/2026
CNR: RJHC010691852026 | URN: HC / 693U / 2026

Rana Ram S/o Kheta Ram, Aged About 34 Years, R/o Kuknon Ki Dhani, Po Punion Ka Tala, District Barmer Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, Home Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. Inspector General Of Police, (Ig) Jodhpur Range, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
3. Superintendent Of Police, District Balotra.
4. Deputy Superintendent Of Police, Circle Pachpadra, District Balotra.
5. Station House Officer, Police Station Gida, District Balotra.
6. Khiyaram, R/o Ward No. 2, Sutroni, Bhambhuo Ki Dhani, Dungranada, Kumpaliya, District Barmer, Rajasthan.
7. Ashok Kumar S/o Adain Ram, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Of Ward No. 2, Sutroni, Bhambhuo Ki Dhani, Dungranada, Kumpaliya, District Barmer, Rajasthan.
8. Bhera Ram S/o Adain Ram, R/o Ward No. 2, Sutroni, Bhambhuo Ki Dhani, Dungranada, Kumpaliya, District Barmer, Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Rishabh Tayal Mr. Jitendra Choudhary
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Deepak Choudhary, GA-cum-AAG with Mr. Kuldeep Singh Kumpawat Mr. Bhav Lal, S.I., P.S. Pachpadra, Dist. Balotra

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINIT KUMAR MATHUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR SHARMA
Order

30/07/2026

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The present habeas corpus petition has been filed by the petitioner, who is claiming to be the husband of the corpus Mst. 'A'. It has been stated that the corpus Mst. 'A' is in illegal detention of private respondents.

3. In pursuance of the directions issued by this Court, the corpus Mst. 'A' has been produced before us.

4. We have conferred with the corpus. She has stated that she is 26 years of age, has studied up till 8th standard and understands her well-being fairly well. She stated that she got married with the petitioner under pressure but now she does not want to go and live with him. She has expressed her desire to go and live with her parents. She has stated that she is not in illegal detention of any person including private respondents.

5. In view of the statements made before us, we are convinced that the corpus Mst. 'A' is not in illegal detention of any person including private respondents.

6. In view of the discussion made above, the present habeas corpus petition fails and the same is hereby dismissed.

7. However, in pursuance of the order dated 16.07.2026, the amount of Rs.25,000/- deposited by the petitioner stands forfeited.

(CHANDRA SHEKHAR SHARMA),J

(VINIT KUMAR MATHUR),J