

  
**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN  
AT JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15127/2026

CNR: RJHC010691062026

URN: CW / 27428U / 2026

Kuldeep Singh Rathore S/o Shri Tej Singh Rathore, Aged About  
36 Years, Resident Of Vasundar Chhoti, Dungarpur.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Director, Department Of  
Local Self, Jaipur.
2. The Municipal Council, Dungarpur, Through Its  
Commissioner.

-----Respondents

---

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Kunal Upadhyay

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Rajesh Panwar, AAG  
Mr. Monal Chugh

---

**HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE NUPUR BHATI**

**Order**

**17/07/2026**

The present writ petitioner has been preferred by the  
petitioner with the following prayers :

- i) By an appropriate writ, order or direction, the  
impugned order dated 10.07.2026 (Annexure-1)  
passed by the respondent No.1 may kindly be  
declared illegal, wholly without jurisdiction and be  
quashed and set-aside.
- ii) By an appropriate writ, order or direction, the  
petitioner may be allowed to perform & discharge  
her duties on the post of Assistant Account Officer-  
II, Municipal Council, Dungarpur.
- iii) Any other appropriate order or direction which  
this Hon'ble Court may deem fit just and proper in  
the facts and circumstances of the case may kindly  
be passed in favour of the petitioner.
- iv) Costs of the writ petition may kindly be  
awarded to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner at the outset submits that  
the issue involved in the present writ petition is squarely covered  
by the order dated 12.08.2025 passed in the case of **Bhupesh  
Doda Vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors. (SBCWP**

**No.15339/2025)**, wherein a co-ordinate bench of this Court has held that a person appointed in TSP are can only be transferred within a TSP area and not outside TSP area. The relevant part of the order dated 12.08.2025 is reproduced hereunder:-

"4. From a close reading of the above rule it is clear that a person appointed in a TSP area can only be transferred within a TSP Area and not outside a TSP Area.

5. The impugned transfer order shows that the petitioner was transferred from TSP Area to Non-TSP Area. Though other grounds have been raised, this Court is not inclined to go into such grounds. There is a clear violation of Rule 31 of the Rules of 2014 and the transfer is contrary to the Rules of 2014, therefore, the impugned order is liable to be set aside on this ground at the admission stage itself.

6. In the result, the present writ petition is allowed and the impugned transfer order is set aside qua the petitioner. The respondents authorities are given liberty to pass fresh order in terms of Rule 31 of the Rules of 2014.

7. All pending applications, if any, shall stand disposed of."

Learned counsel for the respondents is not in a position to refute the fact that the issue involved in the writ petition is squarely covered by the order passed in the case of **Bhupesh Doda** (supra).

Thus, in view of the above submissions, the present writ petition is allowed in the same terms as in the case of **Bhupesh Doda** (supra). Impugned order dated 10.07.2016 (Annex.1) is quashed and set aside qua the petitioner.

All pending applications, if any, shall stand disposed of.

(DR.NUPUR BHATI),J