



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR**



S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15177/2026

CNR: RJHC010688282026

URN: CW / 27486U / 2026

Neeraj Mathur S/o Late Shri Kailash Lal Mathur, aged about 59 years, R/o 103, Hridya Enclave, Navratan Complex, Udaipur, Rajasthan

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan through the Secretary, Urban Development and Housing Department, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur, Rajasthan
2. Deputy Secretary-III, Urban Development and Housing Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur
3. The Chairman, Udaipur Development Authority, Udaipur

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Pramendra Bohra.

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE NUPUR BHATI

Order

16/07/2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner superannuation is due on 30.04.2027, and he has been transferred vide order dated 10.07.2026 (Annex.2) from Udaipur Development Authority to Ajmer Development Authority.
2. At the outset, it is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the issue raised in the present writ petition is covered by a judgment rendered in ***Dr. (Smt.) Pushpa Mehta Vs. Rajasthan Civil Services Appellate Tribunal & Ors. reported in 2000(2) WLC 725***. He submits that the petitioner is sanguine that, in case he is allowed to file a representation qua



the grievance raised in the present writ petition and the same is considered in light of the aforesaid judgment, he will be meted out with favorable treatment.

3. In view of the aforesaid, without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition filed by the petitioner is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority to decide the representation (may file fresh, if not already filed) of the petitioner, in accordance with law, keeping in view the observations made in the case of **Pushpa Mehta** (supra), as expeditiously as possible, but not later than four weeks from today.

4. Till then, the impugned order dated 10.07.2026 (Annex.2) qua the petitioner shall be kept at abeyance. In case it is found that the case of the petitioner is not covered by the judgment rendered in Pushpa Mehta, ibid, specific reasons thereof shall be given by passing a speaking order.

5. Pending application(s), if any, stand disposed of.

(DR. NUPUR BHATI),J