

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

सत्यमेव जयते

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15129/2026
CNR: RJHC010687862026 | URN: CW / 27432U / 2026

Sunil Vishnoi S/o Shri Bhanwar Lal, aged about 41 years,
Resident of H. No.3, Bishnoiyan Ki Dhani, Banar, District Jodhpur
Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. Jodhpur Vidhyut Vitran Nigam Limited, through its
Managing Director New Power House Road, Jodhpur
2. Teh Secretary (Administration), Jodhpur Vidhyut Vitran
Nigam Limited, New Power House Road, Jodhpur
3. Jai Prakash Tak, Assistant Engineer, Jodhpur through
Secretary (Administration) Jodhpur Discome, Jodhpur
Vidhyut Vitran Nigam Limited, New Power House Road,
Jodhpur

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Surendra Singh Choudhary.
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Mehul Kothari.

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE NUPUR BHATI

Order

23/07/2026

1. The petitioner has preferred the present writ petition challenging the transfer order dated 10.07.2026 (Annex.5), whereby he has been transferred from Jodhpur to Bap, *inter alia*, contending that the impugned transfer has been effected before completion of the normal tenure prescribed under the transfer policy dated 28.11.2017, without any exceptional administrative exigency, and solely to accommodate the private respondent through successive transfer orders. It is further stated in the writ

petition that the petitioner's brother has undergone a kidney transplant and requires his constant care and assistance.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the outset, submits that he does not propose to argue the writ petition on merits at this stage and confines his prayer to seeking liberty for the petitioner to submit a comprehensive representation before the competent authority ventilating his grievances against the impugned transfer order dated 10.07.2026. It is further prayed that the competent authority may be directed to consider and decide such representation by passing a reasoned and speaking order within a time-bound period.

3. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and perused the material available on record.

4. Having regard to the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to submit a comprehensive representation before the competent authority within a period of two weeks from today, raising all factual and legal grounds available to him, including the grievances sought to be agitated in the present writ petition.

5. In case such representation is submitted within the aforesaid period, the competent authority shall consider and decide the same strictly in accordance with law, by passing a reasoned and speaking order, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of such representation. It is made clear that this Court has neither examined nor expressed any opinion on the merits of the controversy, and all contentions of the parties are kept open to be



considered by the competent authority. The stay petition and all pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(DR.NUPUR BHATI),J

454/Dharmendra Jala/357