

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

सत्यमेव जयते

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous (Petition) No. 5829/2026
CNR: RJHC010685962026
URN: CRLMP / 10360U / 2026

Govind Singh S/o Hinglaj Dan, Aged About 50 Years, Mathania
Jodhpur City East, Raj.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1.

State Of Rajasthan, Through The Principal Secretary
Department Of Home Government Of Rajasthan Jaipur
2.

Director General Of Police, Rajasthan, Jaipur
3.

Inspector General Of Police, Jodhpur Range Jodhpur
4.

Bhanwar Singh, Station House Officer P.s. Kalyanpur
District Balotra

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Yogendra Singh Charan
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Hanuman Prajapati, PP Mr. Vikram Rajpurohit, PP Mr. Ramesh Vaishnav, DYSP, C.O., City Barmer, present in person.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BALJINDER SINGH SANDHU

Order

16/07/2026

The present Criminal Miscellaneous Petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 528 of the BNSS, seeking a fair and impartial investigation in connection with FIR No. 43/2026 registered at Police Station Kalyanpur, District Balotra, for the offences punishable under Sections 281 and 106(1) of the BNS. The petitioner has prayed for transfer of the investigation to an independent agency, alleging that there was deliberate collusion

on the part of the police in the incident, which resulted in the death of two young persons.

The Investigating Officer is present before this Court along with the case diary. The case diary has also been perused by the Court. The Investigating Officer submits that, prima facie, the collision occurred while overtaking a vehicle. It is further submitted that the recordings from all the relevant CCTV cameras have already been collected and that the investigation is presently being conducted by a police officer of the rank of Deputy Superintendent of Police, who is also present before this Court.

This Court finds that the unfortunate incident resulted in the death of two young persons. In view of the serious allegations levelled by the petitioner regarding the manner in which the investigation is being conducted, the matter deserves to be thoroughly and fairly investigated.

Learned Public Prosecutor submits that the investigation was earlier placed under the supervision of the Inspector General of Police and has since been transferred outside the district to ensure fairness.

In the aforesaid circumstances, and having regard to the overall facts and circumstances of the case, this Court deems it appropriate to direct the Inspector General of Police to once again personally look into the matter and ensure that all available CCTV footage is thoroughly examined. The Inspector General of Police shall also ascertain whether any additional electronic or CCTV recordings are available and take appropriate steps to secure and

examine the same. Thereafter, the Inspector General of Police shall be at liberty to take an appropriate decision as to whether the investigation deserves to be entrusted to the CID (Crime Branch), and, if so required, to take all consequential steps in accordance with law.

It is expected that the Inspector General of Police shall take an appropriate decision in the matter expeditiously, preferably within a period of four weeks from today.

With the aforesaid observations and directions, the present Criminal Miscellaneous Petition stands disposed of.

Needless to observe, the petitioner shall be at liberty to avail appropriate remedies in accordance with law, including filing an appropriate application or fresh petition, if any subsequent cause of action or occasion so arises.

(BALJINDER SINGH SANDHU),J