

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 14285/2025

Ramesh Sankhla S/o Shri Babulal, Aged About 42 Years, R/o
Buchakalla, Tehsil Pipar City, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Additional District Collector
No. 3, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
2. Gram Panchayat Buchakalla, Through Sarpanch,
Panchayat Samiti Pipar City, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
3. Shokat Ali S/o Mohammad Khan, R/o Buchakalla, Tehsil
Pipar City, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

Connected With

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 14286/2025

Ramesh Sankhla S/o Shri Babulal, Aged About 42 Years, R/o
Buchakalla, Tehsil Pipar City, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Additional District Collector
No. 3, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
2. Gram Panchayat Buchakalla, Through Sarpanch,
Panchayat Samiti Pipar City, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
3. Shyam Kanwar W/o Shri Om Singh, R/o Buchakalla, Tehsil
Pipar City, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 14287/2025

Ramesh Sankhla S/o Shri Babulal, Aged About 42 Years, R/o
Buchakalla, Tehsil Pipar City, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Additional District Collector
No. 3, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
2. Gram Panchayat Buchakalla, Through Sarpanch,
Panchayat Samiti Pipar City, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
3. Harendra Choudhary S/o Shri Ramsukh, R/o Buchakalla,



Tehsil Pipar City, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 14288/2025

Ramesh Sankhla S/o Shri Babulal, Aged About 42 Years, R/o Buchakalla, Tehsil Pipar City, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Additional District Collector No. 3, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
2. Gram Panchayat Buchakalla, Through Sarpanch, Panchayat Samiti Pipar City, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
3. Maglaram S/o Khumaram, R/o Buchakalla, Tehsil Pipar City, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 14301/2025

Ramesh Sankhla S/o Shri Babulal, Aged About 42 Years, R/o Buchakalla, Tehsil Pipar City, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Additional District Collector No. 3, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
2. Gram Panchayat Buchakalla, Through Sarpanch, Panchayat Samiti Pipar City, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
3. Pemaram S/o Tulsiram, R/o Buchakalla, Tehsil Pipar City, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 14303/2025

Ramesh Sankhla S/o Shri Babulal, Aged About 42 Years, R/o Buchakalla, Tehsil Pipar City, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Additional District Collector No. 3, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
2. Gram Panchayat Buchakalla, Through Sarpanch, Panchayat Samiti Pipar City, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
3. Rajuram S/o Laburam, R/o Buchakalla, Tehsil Pipar City,

District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Surya Deep
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Vikas Bijarnia Mr. Aashish Kumar

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL BENIWAL

Order

29/10/2025

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he does not want to press the second stay petitions in all the matters but wants to argue on the stay petitions pending with the main writ petition.

In view of the submissions made, the second stay petitions are dismissed as withdrawn.

Heard on stay petitions.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that during the pendency of the writ petition, the respondents are raising construction. He further submits that if the private respondents are allowed to raise construction, the very purpose of filing the present writ petitions would be frustrated.

Learned counsel for the Gram Panchayat submit that the shops were allotted to the private respondents after following due procedure of law and the construction has already been completed, therefore, there is no case for granting interim order at this stage.

Learned counsel appearing for the respondent-Gram Panchayat further submits that the land belonging to the

petitioner is not adjoining to the land for which pattas were issued as the same are segregated by a public way.

Considering the submissions made above, this Court deems it appropriate to direct that the construction raised by the private respondents shall remain subject to the outcome of the present writ petition.

With these observations, stay petition stands disposed of.

(SUNIL BENIWAL),J

84-89-ajayS/-