

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15075/2026

CNR: RJHC010682072026

URN: CW / 27342U / 2026

1. Rajaram Vishnoi S/o Shri Ram, Aged About 38 Years, R/o Feench Th. Luni, District- Jodhpur At Present Working At G.s.s.s. Palli, District- Phalodi
2. Gaurav Jain S/o Abhay Jain, Aged About 39 Years, R/o F-2, Plot No.228 Gangasagar A, At Bajri Mandi Road Panchiyawala, District- Jaipur At Present Working At G.s.s.s. 7S First Kikarwali, Shrikaranpur, District- Sriganganagar
3. Bhoparam S/o Sakaram, Aged About 37 Years, R/o Raniwada Kamba, Margaon District- Jalore At Present Working At G.s.s.s. Malwara, District- Jalore.
4. Daljeet Kaur D/o Joga Singh, Aged About 38 Years, R/o 805/29 Rawan Ki Bagechi Kesarganj, District- Ajmer At Present Working G.s.s.s Ramgarh Sedotan, District- Ajmer
5. Nagendra Negi S/o Jagmohan Singh, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Indo Ka Bas Block Aau, District- Phalodi At Present Working At Pm Shri G.s.s.s Indo Ka Bas, District- Phalodi.
6. Sahil Charaya S/o Ashok Kumar, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Ward No. 9 Padampur District- Sriganganagar At Present Working At G.s.s.s 4 N.n Chandna Padampur, District- Sriganganagar.
7. Mukesh Kumar Jat S/o Banwari Lal Jat, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Vijaynagar Hestada, District Jaipur At Present Working At G.s.s.s Deoli Hulla, Sojat, District Pali.
8. Nikhil Vardhan Rajoria S/o Vinod Kumar Saini, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Ward No. 19 Near Townhall, District- Churu At Present Working At G.s.s.s. Sutdo Nechwa, District- Sikar
9. Anshul Sharma S/o Trimohan Sharma, Aged About 26 Years, R/o Dhamotar, District Pratapgarh At Present Working At G.s.s.s. Ganthali, District-
10. Vinit Kumar Gupta S/o Jagdish Gupta, Aged About 36 Years, R/o 459/417 Galli No. 1/12 Subhash Nagar

Bankhadi Rishikesh, Uttarakhand At Present Working At G.s.s.s Rampura Urf Ramsara Tibbi, District Hanumangarh.

11. Surbhi Arora D/o Suresh Kumar, Aged About 34 Years, R/o 254-A Setia Colony, District- Sriganganagar At Present Working At G.s.s.s 4Z Sriganganagar, District- Sriganganagar
12. Manish Kumar Dewat S/o Goverdhan Lal Dewat, Aged About 30 Years, R/o C-22A Vidhansabha Colony Jaipur, District- Jaipur At Present Working At G.s.s.s Deomali Masuda, District- Beawar
13. Surendra Mundatiya S/o Babulal Mundatiya, Aged About 31 Years, R/o Ambedkar Colony Dehmi Kalaa Bagru, District- Jaipur At Present Working At G.s.s.s Dholadanta Masuda, District Beawar.
14. Vikas Mourya S/o Jagdish Prasad Mourya, Aged About 27 Years, R/o Raigarh Ka Mohalla Kelwar, District- Jaipur At Present Working At G.s.s.s Nandwara Masuda District- Beawar
15. Mohit Khandelwal S/o Rajendra Prasad, Aged About 26 Years, R/o Vijayapura Tehsil Narayanpura, District Kotputli Behror At Present Working At G.s.s.s Dhigarla Rajgarh District Churu.
16. Lokesh Nagar S/o Hemraj Nagar, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Village Chaleth Post- Panwar, District- Jhalawar At Present Working At G.s.s.s Gadiyamer Ii, District- Jhalawar
17. Mamta Kumari D/o Nenaram, Aged About 31 Years, R/o 104 Golfcourse Ratanada, District Jodhpur At Present Working At G.s.s.s Cherai, District Jodhpur.
18. Anand Kumar Sutrakar S/o Har Sahay Sutrakar, Aged About 34 Years, R/o Village Khatwada Mahapura, District- Jaipur At Present Working At G.s.s.s Nayagaon District- Beawar
19. Leeladhar Suthar S/o Niranjana Suthar, Aged About 34 Years, R/o V.p.o Chupna, Tehsil Arnod, District Pratagarh At Present Working At G.s.s.s Salamgarh, District Pratagarh.
20. Vishal Joshi S/o Sumnesh Joshi, Aged About 27 Years, R/

o Ward No. 7 Jaitaran, District- Beawar At Present Working At G.s.s.s Guda Ramsingh, Marwar Junction, District- Pali

21. Hemant Singh S/o Keshar Dev, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Chak 5 Lpm (A), Post Mokhamwala Tehsil Raisingnagar District Sriganganagar At Present Working At G.s.s.s 40 Np Raisingnagar, Sriganganagar, District Sriganganagar.

----Petitioners

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, Department Of Education, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Naresh Kumar

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE NUPUR BHATI

Order

16/07/2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the controversy in question rests covered by the judgment passed by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court at Jaipur in ***S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.7283/2014: Manoj Khandelwal & Ors. Vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors.*** (decided on 16.07.2014). He submits that the petitioners would be satisfied if the respondents are directed to decide the representation of the petitioners in light of the aforesaid judgment.

2. In Manoj Khandelwal's case (supra), it was observed and held as under:

"..... Having regard to the facts of the case, writ petition is disposed of requiring the petitioners to make a representation to respondent no.2-Director, Secondary Education, Bikaner, along with a copy of

this order, who shall, after verifying the facts stated above, consider and decide the same by a speaking order within a period of three months from the date of its making, addressing the grievance of the petitioners for extending them the relief as prayed for, as the candidates, who stood lower in merit, are getting benefit of higher pay, seniority, annual grade increments and other service benefits including the selection scales. If the respondent no.2 decides to place the petitioners above in seniority than the candidates who stood lower in merit, then the petitioners would be entitled to all benefits of seniority but they would be entitled only to notional benefits."

3. In view of the submission made, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority/respondents to decide the representation of the petitioners if filed within a period of fifteen days from now. The representation be decided within a period of six weeks thereafter in accordance with law and keeping in view the observations made in the case of Manoj Khandelwal (supra).

4. The order has been passed based on the submissions made in the petition and by learned counsel for the petitioners before this Court. The respondents would be free to examine the veracity of the submissions made in the petition and only in case, the averments made therein are found to be correct, appropriate orders would be passed in favour of the petitioners.

5. It is made clear that aforesaid direction to decide their representation has been issued only with a view to ensure expeditious redressal of petitioners' grievance. The same may not be construed to be an order to decide the representation in a particular manner.

6. Stay petition and pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(DR. NUPUR BHATI),J

260/Mithil/692