HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT JODHPUR

D.B. Spl. Appl. Writ No. 1086/2025

Punnu Khan S/o Shri Subhan Khan, Aged About 42 Years, Resident Of Village Ravwala, Tehsil Kolayat, District Bikaner (Rajasthan).

----Appellant

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through The Tehsildar, Bajju, Tehsil Bajju, District Bikaner (Rajasthan).
2. Kailash Chandra S/o Shri Dhan Raj, Resident Of Chak No. 5, Dmr, Tehsil Bajju, District Bikaner (Rajasthan).

----Respondents

For Appellant(s)	:	Mr. Yogendra Singh Chauhan
For Respondent(s)	:	Ms. Kanchan Jodha for Mr. N.S. Rajpurohit, AAG

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE PUSHPENDRA SINGH BHATI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP SHAH

Order

23/03/2026

1. Learned counsel for the appellant has drawn attention of this Court to Paragraph Nos.4 to 6 of the impugned order. The order reads as under:-

> *"**I.A.No.01/2025:-***
>
> *1. Mr. Gharsana, learned counsel for the applicant - respondent No.2 submitted that the writ petition has been rendered infructuous.*
>
> *2. For the reasons stated, the application seeking early listing of the matter is allowed.*
>
> *3. The matter is taken up for consideration today itself.*
>
> ***S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 9715/2023:-***
>
> *4. Mr. Gharsana, learned counsel for the respondent No.2 submitted that the present writ petition petition lays challenge to the order passed by the Board of Revenue, whereby the Board of Revenue has rejected petitioner's revision petition, holding it to be non-*

maintainable on the ground that the petitioner herein was supposed to prefer an appeal before the Revenue Appellate Authority.

5. While informing that the petitioner had thereafter filed an appeal before the Revenue Appellate Authority and the same too has been disposed of, learned counsel contended that the present writ petition has therefore been rendered infructuous.

6. In view of the submissions so made, the present writ petition is dismissed as having become infructuous.

7. The stay application also stands dismissed, accordingly."

2. In light of the fact that the appeal preferred by the Revenue Appellate Authority has already been disposed of, then, maintaining this appeal as well as challenging the order passed by the Board of Revenue cannot be permissible.

3. Accordingly, the present appeal is dismissed.

(SANDEEP SHAH),J (DR.PUSHPENDRA SINGH BHATI),J

5-Love/-