

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Writ Petition No. 1925/2024

Vandna Ben W/o Shri Mukund Ratnottar, Aged About 42 Years,
House No. 432/2519, Vivekanand Nagar, Housing Board, P.s.
Chandkheda, Ahmadabad (Gujarat)

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department Of Home, Govt. Of Raj., Secretariat, Jaipur (Raj.)
2. The Inspector General Of Police, Jodhpur Range, Jodhpur.
3. Assistant Police Commissioner (Sc/st Cell), Jodhpur West, Jodhpur.
4. Superintendent Of Police, Sirohi (Raj.)
5. S.h.o., P.s. Aburoad Sadar, Distt. Sirohi (Raj.)
6. S.h.o., P.s. Kudi Bhagtasani Distt. Jodhpur (Raj.)

-----Respondents

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Misc(Pet.) No. 1484/2023

1. Gajendra Singh S/o Sh. Uday Singh Parmar, Aged About 45 Years, Vaktpura, Taluka-Talod, Dist. Sabarkantha (Gujarat).
2. Mahesh Bhai S/o Sh. Amichand Bhai Patel, Aged About 62 Years, 1-2, Shyam Villa Society, Mahaveer Nagar, Himmat Nagar, Dist. Sabarkantha (Guj.).
3. Ganpat Singh S/o Sh. Parbat Singh Jhala, Aged About 40 Years, Naraji Na Muvada, P.o.- Aniyod Taluka-Talod, Dist. Sabarkantha (Gujarat).

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp
2. Vandana Ben W/o Sh. Mukund Bhai, 432/2519, Vivekanand Nagar, Housing Board, Chandkheda, Ahmdabad (Guj.).

-----Respondents

S.B. Criminal Misc(Pet.) No. 1695/2023

Vandana Ben W/o Mukund Bhai, Aged About 40 Years,

432/2519, Vivekanand Nagar, Housing Board, Chand Kheda,
Ahamdabad, Guj.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp
2. Superintendent Of Police, Dist. Sirohi.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Shambhoo Singh Ms. Manisha Phophaliya
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. NS Chandawat, Dy.G.A.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FARJAND ALI

Order

13/02/2026

1. At the outset, learned counsel for the State supplied to this Court a detailed factual report running into 39 pages, concluding that the allegations were found to be false and that submission of a negative final report has been proposed. Perhaps the filing of the same before the trial court has been withheld owing to the pendency of the writ petition as well as the stay petition before this Court.
2. After going through the niceties of the matter and having a cursory look over the factual report and the other material, it is deemed appropriate to dispose of the instant petitions with an expectation that the SHO shall submit the final report before the learned trial Court within a period of thirty days from the receipt of a copy of this order.
3. This Court would not like to see the complainant-victim remediless; therefore, she is directed to appear either personally

or through her pleader before the learned trial Court and make a protest against the report.

4. Needless to say, the Magistrate or the Subordinate Court before whom the closure report is submitted shall be fully empowered to hear the victim on the following three questions, viz.:

- a). To send the matter back for conducting further investigation, if there are circumstances compelling to do so.
- b). To take cognizance on the basis of the material available on record.
- c). To treat the protest petition as a complaint and make an enquiry as contemplated under the law.

5. Stay petitions also stand disposed of.

(FARJAND ALI),J