

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 14686/2026
CNR: RJHC010675412026 | URN: CW / 26762U / 2026

Girish Patidar S/o Shri Raman Lal Patidar, Aged About 35 Years,
R/o Village Survaniya, Tehsil And District Banswara (Raj.)
Presently Posted As Technical Helper Grade-LI In The Office Of
Aen (O And M), Avvnl, Bagidora.

-----Petitioner

Versus

The Secretary (Administration), Ajmer Vidhyut Vitran Nigam
Limited, Vidhyut Bhawan, Panchsheel Nagar. Makadwali Road,
Ajmer.

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Pankaj Mehta
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Mrigraj Singh Rathore

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE NUPUR BHATI

Order

27/07/2026

1. The writ petition has been preferred by the petitioner with
the following prayers :

*"It is therefore, humbly and respectfully prayed that
the writ petition may kindly be accepted and allowed
and by the writ of mandamus or any appropriate writ
order or directions the respondents may be directed:
(1) That the impugned order dated 10.07.2026
(Annexure-1) may kindly be quashed and set-aside
upto the extend of petitioner.
(2) That if during pendency of the writ petition the
petitioner is relieved from the present place of posting
then by quashing the impugned order, he may be
restored to his present place of posting i.e. in the
office of Assistant Engineer (O&M), Bagidora.
(3) The Cost of writ petition may kindly be awarded to
the petitioner and any other order or direction that
may be deemed just and proper in favour of the
petitioner kindly is passed."*

2. Brief facts of the case are that the petitioner is serving as an Technical Helper in the respondent Corporation. He was transferred from the office of AEN (O&M), Bagidora to AEN (O&M), Ghatol, District Banswara, vide order dated 15.01.2025, and joined his duties on 31.01.2025. The petitioner has challenged the impugned transfer primarily on the grounds that the transfer order incorrectly records his transfer as being on his own request, though no such request was ever made by him. It is further alleged that the wife of the petitioner is pregnant.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner restricts his submissions only on one ground that vide impugned order dated 10.07.2026 (Annex.1), the respondents have transferred the petitioner from AEN (O&M), Bagidora to AEN (O&M), Ghatol, District Banswara. He submits that in the transfer order, the respondents have mentioned few serial numbers of the employees who have been transferred vide same order indicating in it that all those employees shall not be entitled for TA/DA as per rules, however, petitioner's serial No. 51 has not been reflected in the said transfer order. He submits that the petitioner has been denied TA/DA which reflects that the said transfer order has been made on the request of the petitioner, whereas the petitioner has not made any request, however, despite the same, the respondents while presuming that the petitioner has made a request for transfer have transferred him and thus the order is arbitrary and lacks application of mind.

4. Learned caveator submits that the same appears to be a typing mistake in the order as the petitioner has been transferred in administrative exigency and not upon his request.

5. Heard.

6. Taking into consideration the submissions of learned counsel for the parties that the impugned order dated 10.07.2026 (Annex.1) has been passed without application of mind and the respondents has conceded that there is an error in the transfer order, this Court deems it appropriate to quash and set aside the impugned order dated 10.07.2026 (Annex.1) qua the petitioner and the same is hereby quashed.

7. Accordingly, the writ petition is allowed.

8. The respondents would be at liberty to pass a fresh transfer order, if any exigency arises.

9. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(DR.NUPUR BHATI),J