

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 14638/2026

CNR: RJHC010673122026

URN: CW / 26714U / 2026

Jai Ram S/o Shri Harji Ram, Aged About 59 Years, Resident Of Kanecha, Tehsil Jaitaran District Beawar. At Present Working As Senior Agriculture Supervisor Posted At Horticulture Department, Jaitaran District Beawar.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department Of Agriculture, Govt. Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. Commissioner, Department Of Agriculture And Panchayati Raj (Agriculture), Commissionerate, Pant Krishi Bhawan, Rajasthan, Jaipur.
3. Addl. Director (Admn.), Department Of Agriculture, Pant Krishi Bhawan, Rajasthan, Jaipur.
4. Asstt. Director Agriculture, Department Of Horticulture, Beawar.
5. Asstt. Director Agriculture, Department Of Horticulture, Pali.
6. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Beawar.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Yuvraj Singh

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Deepak Bora

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE NUPUR BHATI

Order

17/07/2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner superannuation is due on 31.05.2027, and he has been transferred vide order dated 10.07.2026 (Annex.-4) from HQ

Jaitaran Office of Dy. Dir. Horticulture Pali to office of Asstt. Dir. Agriculture HQ Jodhpur (Chandrakh).

2. At the outset, it is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the issue raised in the present writ petition is covered by a judgment rendered in ***Dr. (Smt.) Pushpa Mehta Vs. Rajasthan Civil Services Appellate Tribunal & Ors. Reported in 2000(2) WLC 725***. He submits that the petitioner is sanguine that, in case he is allowed to file a representation qua the grievance raised in the present writ petition and the same is considered in light of the aforesaid judgment, he will be meted out with favorable treatment.

3. In view of the aforesaid, without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition filed by the petitioner is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority to decide the representation (may file fresh, if not already filed) of the petitioner, in accordance with law, keeping in view the observations made in the case of ***Pushpa Mehta*** (supra), as expeditiously as possible, but not later than four weeks from today.

4. Till then, the impugned order dated 10.07.2026 (Annex.4) qua the petitioner shall be kept at abeyance. In case it is found that the case of the petitioner is not covered by the judgment rendered in *Pushpa Mehta, ibid*, specific reasons thereof shall be given by passing a speaking order.

5. Pending application(s), if any, stand disposed of.

(DR.NUPUR BHATI),J