

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 14624/2026

CNR: RJHC010672652026

URN: CW / 26700U / 2026

Anil Kumar S/o Shri Ramswarup Harijan, Aged About 35 Years,
Resident Of C/o Ramswarup Harijan, Near Holidhora Tanki, Ward
No.51, Sardarshahar, District Churu Raj.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Principal Secretary,
Department Of Local Self Government, Jaipur.
2. The Director And Special Secretary, Directorate, Local Self
Government Department, Jaipur.
3. The Nagar Parishad, Sardarshahar, District Churu,
Through Its Commissioner.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. D.S. Jasol
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Monal Chugh for Mr. Rajesh Panwar, Sr.Adv & AAG.

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE NUPUR BHATI

Order

17/07/2026

1. At the request of and with the consent of the learned counsel appearing for the parties, the matter has been taken up and heard for final disposal at the admission stage.
2. The issue and the facts involved in the present writ petition are similar to the issue and facts as involved in the case of *Laxman Singh Bhati Vs. The State of Rajasthan & Ors.*: S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 2467/2026, decided on 05.02.2026 wherein, this Court considers the scope of Section 25-A and Rule 7(8)(b)(iii) of the Rajasthan Service Rules, 1951 (hereinafter referred to as 'the Rules of 1951').

3. The relevant paragraphs of the order dated 05.02.2026 passed in Laxman Singh Bhati's case (supra) reads as follows:-

"6. The Rule 7(8)(b)(iii) of the Rules of 1951 reads as follows:

"Rule 7-Unless there be something repugnant in the subject or context the terms defined in this Chapter are used in the rules in the sense here explained:—

(1) to (7) xxxxxxxxxxxx

(8) Duty:-

(a) xxxxxxxxxxxx

(b) Government may issue orders declaring that in the following circumstance, or in circumstances similar thereto, a Government servant may be treated as on duty.

(i) xxxxxxxxxxxx

(ii) xxxxxxxxxxxx

(iii) In the case of persons who, on their first appointment to State Service, do not, before they report themselves at the seat of Government or other specified station, in accordance with the orders of the appointing authority, receive orders to take charge of a specified post, during the interval between the date of such report and the date on which they take charge of their duties.

Note:- Period of compulsory waiting by a Government servant returning from leave or after making over charge of his old post for orders of Government posting him to a particular post falls in this clause."

7. Rule 25-A of the Rules of 1951 reads as follows: "25. Pay during course of training etc.— xxxxxxxxxxxx 25A. Pay during awaiting posting orders.— A Government servant who is compulsorily kept under awaiting posting orders under note below Rule 7(8)(b) (iii) shall be entitled to the pay and allowances at the rate at which he was drawing immediately before relinquishing charge in the old post. He shall not be allowed Conveyance Allowance or permanent Travelling Allowance during the period of awaiting posting order."

8. This Court has considered the circumstances under which APO orders can be passed in S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 23795/2025: Dr. Ashok Sharma vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors. The present order was passed on the basis of certain complaints. The order, in effect, amounts to being of a stigmatic nature. The circumstances under which a person can be put on APO by changing the Headquarters are enumerated in the rules referred to hereinabove; however, the present order, being of a stigmatic nature i.e. an APO order, is not one of the enumerated conditions. Therefore, the order impugned

dated 28.01.2026 (Annex.1) is liable to be set aside on this ground.

9. In the result, the present writ petition is allowed and the order impugned dated 28.01.2026 (Annex.1) is set aside, with liberty to draw fresh appropriate proceedings as per law, if they chooses.”

4. In the result, the instant writ petition is also allowed in the same terms as in *Laxman Singh Bhati's case (supra)*. However, this order will not come in the way of the respondents to take a fresh decision in the matter of transfer of the petitioner.

5. All the pending applications, if any, shall stand disposed of.

(DR.NUPUR BHATI),J

409/Devesh Thanvi/609