

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous (Petition) No. 5700/2026
CNR: RJHC010672532026
URN: CRLMP / 10147U / 2026

1. Smt. Maya D/o Sohan Lal Banjara, Aged About 21 Years, Resident Of Oda, Police Station Railmagra, District Rajsamand Second Address, C/o Kamla W/o Soji, Resident of Maharaj Ka Dabla, Police Station Shahpura, District Bhilwara.
2. Prakash Banjara S/o Raju Banjara, Aged About 22 Years, Resident Of Banjaro Ka Kheda, Mangrop, Tehsil Hameergarh, district Bhilwara.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Chief Secretary, Ministry Of Home Affairs, Jaipur
2. The Superintendent Of Police, Bhilwara.
3. The Superintendent Of Police, Rajsamand
4. The Station House Officer, Of Police Station Shahpura, District Bhilwara
5. The Station House Officer, Of Police Station Railmagra, District Rajsamand.
6. Rahul Banjara S/o Bhagga Banjara, Resident Of Oda, Police Station Railmagra, District Rajsamand.
7. Sohan Lal Banjara S/o Bhagga Banjara, , Resident Of Oda, police Station Railmagra, District Rajsamand.
8. Seeta W/o Amra Banjara, Resident Of Oda, Police Station Railmagra, District Rajsamand.
9. Soji Banjara S/o Not Known, Resident Of Maharaj Ka Dabla, police Station Shahpura, District Bhilwara
10. Kamla W/o Soji Banjara, Resident Of Maharaj Ka Dabla, police Station Shahpura, District Bhilwara.
11. Pintu Banjara S/o Banshi Banjara, Resident Of Hajari Kua, Police Station Shahpura, District Bhilwara

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Bhushan Singh Charan
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Vikram Singh Rajpurohit, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BALJINDER SINGH SANDHU

Order

17/07/2026

The criminal misc. petition has been preferred by the petitioners under Section 528 of BNSS seeking direction for being provided with adequate security and protection.

The petitioners both being major persons, claim to be in a live in relationship. They submit that they are living with each other against the wishes of their parents and thus, they apprehend threat to their lives at the hands of private respondents. The petitioners allegedly approached the respondent police authorities with a prayer to be provided with adequate protection but no heed has been paid to the request so far.

The documents pertaining to the age of the petitioners and live-in-relationship agreement have been filed on record. Thus, taking cue from the judgment rendered by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Lata Singh Vs. State of U.P.** reported in **AIR 2006 SC 2522**, the prayer made by the petitioners for directing the Superintendent of Police/Commissioner of Police concerned to provide protection to the petitioners deserves to be accepted.

The Superintendent of Police/Commissioner of Police concerned shall have the matter enquired into and if so required, appropriate protection shall be provided to the petitioners as and when warranted. The Superintendent of Police/Commissioner of Police concerned shall ensure that no harm is caused to the petitioners, who are in a live in relationship.

The criminal misc. petition is accordingly disposed of.

(BALJINDER SINGH SANDHU),J