

  
**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN  
AT JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 14604/2026

CNR: RJHC010670922026

URN: CW / 26662U / 2026

1. Vajir Khan S/o Shri Avaj Khan, Aged About 70 Years, Resident Of Jagpura, Tehsil-Railmagra District Rajsamand.
2. Ajij Khan S/o Shri Avaj Khan, Aged About 75 Years, Resident Of Jagpura, Tehsil-Railmagra District Rajsamand.
3. Mohammed Khan S/o Shri Avaj Khan, Aged About 63 Years, Resident Of Jagpura, Tehsil-Railmagra District Rajsamand.
4. Hakim Khan S/o Shri Avaj Khan, Aged About 60 Years, Resident Of Jagpura, Tehsil-Railmagra District Rajsamand.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through District Collector, Rajsamand, Rajasthan.
2. The Tehsildar Railmagra, Tehsil Railmagra District - Rajsamand.
3. The Sub Divisional Officer Railmagra, District- Rajsamand.

-----Respondents

---

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Vikram Sharma.

For Respondent(s) :

---

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MUKESH RAJPUROHIT**

**Order**

**14/07/2026**

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are the owners of Khara Nos.71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79 and 80, which was subsequently re-numbered by the Revenue Authorities as Araji Nos. 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 22, 23 and 24 and are in peaceful possession of the land in question and have been cultivating the same. It is further submitted that the

petitioners have instituted a revenue suit seeking declaration of their rights and correction of the revenue record, which is presently pending consideration before the competent Court. Notices in the said suit have already been issued to the respondents. Learned counsel further submits that, during the pendency of the suit, the respondents, vide communication dated 02.07.2026, have proceeded to auction the land in question, which would frustrate the rights and interests of the petitioners.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the application filed under Section 212 of the Rajasthan Tenancy, Act and Order XXXIX Rules 1 and 2 CPC is still pending consideration before the trial court. He submits that the petitioners would be satisfied if a limited direction is issued to the trial court to decide the said application expeditiously, while protecting the rights of the petitioners till its adjudication.

3. In view of the aforesaid limited prayer and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the Sub-Divisional Officer (SDO), Railmagra to decide the application for temporary injunction filed by the petitioners, preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

4. Till the TI application is decided, status quo, as it exists today, with regard to the land/property in question shall be maintained by the parties.

5. It is made clear that the learned trial Court shall decide the temporary injunction application strictly in accordance with law, independently and without being influenced by any observations made in the present order.

6. With the aforesaid observations and directions, the writ petition stands disposed of.

7. Stay application and all pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(MUKESH RAJPUROHIT),J