

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

सत्यमेव जयते

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR

D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 14497/2026
CNR: RJHC010669382026 | URN: CW / 26451U / 2026

Asha Ram Alias Ashumal S/o Late Shri Thevardas Alias Thaumal,
Aged About 87 Years, At Prewsentr Lodged In Central Jail
Jodhpur R/o Santshri Asharamji Ashram Motera Ahmedabaad
Gujrat 380005.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary Of Home
Department Jaipur (Raj.).
2. The Director General, Jail, Jaipur.
3. The District Collector, Jodhpur.
4. The Superintendent, Central Jail, Jodhpur.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Kalu Ram Bhati Mr. Rohit Singh Rawal Mr. Yashpal Singh Rajpurohit Mr. Bharat Sain Mr. Neeraj Panwar Mr. Manohar Singh Sisodia Mr. Naresh Charniya Ms. Maya Goswami Mr. Vakeel Kumar Mr. Swapan Chouhan
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Deepak Chandak for Mr. B.L. Bhati, AAG

HON'BLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE MR. SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEET PUROHIT

Order

03/08/2026

1. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State Home
Department and other respondents has placed before us the
minutes of the meeting of the District Collector and District
Magistrate, Jodhpur held on 31st July 2026, which reflects that the

application filed by the petitioner seeking parole for twenty days has been rejected on the ground that there is an information from the police that the petitioner may abscond and also on the count that he would not be eligible to be granted parole in terms of the Rule 14(a) of the Rajasthan Prisoners Release on Parole Rules, 1958 as there is a criminal case registered against the petitioner out of the State of Rajasthan and also that he has been convicted by the Court of another State, namely, Gandhinagar, Gujarat.

2. It has further been stated that while the petitioner is undergoing treatment, there is no certificate issued by the Medical Officer of the petitioner being fit to be released on parole. Apprehension of serious danger to the family of the complainant is also being highlighted.

3. We have carefully considered the submissions and find that the petitioner has undergone thirteen years, one month and twenty four days incarceration and during this period, earlier also he has been released on interim bail; there is no case of misuse of bail on his part, nor there has been any instance of him causing danger to the society or to any of the witnesses. The apprehensions are, therefore, all misleading and figment of the imagination, without any basis.

4. We notice that in normal course, the committees formed for the purpose of deciding parole applications use same or similar kind of excuses for denying parole without there being any actual instances being cited. The Parole Rules would govern parole relating to the conviction awarded by this Court, which is under

the State. If there is any other criminal case registered in another State, the same law will take its own course.

5. Considering the provisions as also the age of the petitioner and the fact that he has already put in for more than thirteen years of incarceration, we deem it appropriate to grant him benefit of first parole to the petitioner and it is ordered that the petitioner Asha Ram Alias Ashumal S/o Late Shri Thevardas Alias Thaumal shall be released on first parole of twenty days upon his furnishing a personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with two sound and solvent sureties of Rs.25,000/- each to the satisfaction of the Superintendent, Central Jail, Jodhpur on the usual terms and conditions. The Superintendent, Central Jail concerned shall be at liberty to impose other adequate and reasonable conditions to ensure return of the convict to the custody after availing parole. The term of parole shall be computed from the date of actual release of the petitioner.

6. The present writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

7. All the pending application(s) also stands disposed of.

(SANJEET PUROHIT),J

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA),ACJ