

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 14443/2026

CNR: RJHC010667672026

URN: CW / 26365U / 2026

1. Subhash S/o Shri Ladu Ram, Aged About 45 Years, Resident Of Village Dalpatpura, Tehsil Nohar District Hanumangarh.
 2. Bhaga Devi W/o Shri Ladu Ram, Aged About 80 Years, Resident Of Village Dalpatpura, Tehsil Nohar District Hanumangarh.
 3. Bhoop Singh S/o Shri Ladu Ram, Aged About 52 Years, Resident Of Village Dalpatpura, Tehsil Nohar District Hanumangarh.
- Petitioners

Versus

1. Bhoop Singh S/o Het Ram, Resident Of Village Dalpatpura, Tehsil Nohar District Hanumangarh.
2. Nihal Singh S/o Het Ram, Resident Of Village Dalpatpura, Tehsil Nohar District Hanumangarh.
3. Shankar S/o Het Ram, Resident Of Village Dalpatpura, Tehsil Nohar District Hanumangarh.
4. Niku Ram S/o Hari Ram, Resident Of Village Dalpatpura, Tehsil Nohar District Hanumangarh.
5. Rajkumar S/o Niku Ram, Resident Of Village Dalpatpura, Tehsil Nohar District Hanumangarh.
6. Dalip Singh S/o Het Ram, Resident Of Village Dalpatpura, Tehsil Nohar District Hanumangarh.
7. State Of Rajasthan, Through Tehsildar Nohar District Hanumangarh.
8. Kamla D/o Shri Ladu Ram, Resident Of Village Dalpatpura, Tehsil Nohar District Hanumangarh.
9. Mahna D/o Shri Ladu Ram, Resident Of Village Dalpatpura, Tehsil Nohar District Hanumangarh.
10. Roshani D/o Shri Ladu Ram, Resident Of Village Dalpatpura, Tehsil Nohar District Hanumangarh.
11. Sumitra D/o Shri Ladu Ram, Resident Of Village Dalpatpura, Tehsil Nohar District Hanumangarh.
12. Krishna D/o Shri Ladu Ram, Resident Of Village Dalpatpura, Tehsil Nohar District Hanumangarh.
13. Manju D/o Shri Ladu Ram, Resident Of Village Dalpatpura, Tehsil Nohar District Hanumangarh.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Ramawatar Singh Choudhary

For Respondent(s) :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MUKESH RAJPUROHIT

Order

16/07/2026

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners instituted a suit seeking declaration of the respective shares of the parties, correction of the revenue record, and permanent injunction. It is further submitted that due to a typographical error in the cause-title, it was mistakenly described as a suit under Sections 88, 53 and 188 of the Rajasthan Tenancy Act, though the prayer sought only declaration of rights and correction of revenue entries, not partition. After restoration of the suit following its dismissal for non-prosecution, notices were issued but the defendants failed to appear, and the suit was decreed ex parte. The respondents' appeal before the Revenue Appellate Authority, Hanumangarh, was dismissed by order dated 19.06.2017. However, in second appeal, the Board of Revenue, Ajmer, partly allowed the appeal and remanded the matter to the Sub-Divisional Officer, Nohar, for fresh adjudication. Learned counsel for the petitioner has drawn attention of this Court towards the prayer clause, wherein it has been mentioned that the suit has been instituted for declaration of rights and correction of revenue entries and not for partition.

The matter requires consideration.

Issue notice to respondent(s), returnable within six weeks.

In the meanwhile and until next date of hearing, the effect and operation of the order dated 09.06.2026 (Annex.11) passed by the Board of Revenue, Ajmer, shall remain stayed.

(MUKESH RAJPUROHIT),J