

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

सत्यमेव जयते

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 14479/2026
CNR: RJHC010666622026 | URN: CW / 26424U / 2026

Lal Mohammed Mansuri S/o Shri Kamruddin, Aged About 59
Years, Resident Of Mandphiya, Tehsil And District Chittorgarh
Raj.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, Department
Of School Education Department, Government Of
Rajasthan, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. Director Of School Education, Bikaner.
3. Joint Director (School Education), Udaipur Division,
Udaipur.
4. District Education Officer (Hq), Secondary, District
Chittorgarh.
5. District Education Officer (Hq), Secondary, District
Rajsamand.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Kunal Upadhyay.

For Respondent(s) : Mr. N.K. Mehta, AGC.

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE NUPUR BHATI

Order

30/07/2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner
superannuation is due on 31st July, 2027, and he has been
transferred vide order dated 10.07.2026 (Annex.4) from
Chittorgarh to Rajsamand.
2. At the outset, it is submitted by the learned counsel for the
petitioner that the issue raised in the present writ petition is
covered by a judgment rendered in **Dr. (Smt.) Pushpa Mehta**

***Vs. Rajasthan Civil Services Appellate Tribunal & Ors.
Reported in 2000(2) WLC 725.*** He submits that the petitioner is sanguine that, in case he is allowed to file a representation qua the grievance raised in the present writ petition and the same is considered in light of the aforesaid judgment, he will be meted out with favorable treatment.

3. In view of the aforesaid, without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition filed by the petitioner is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority to decide the representation (may file fresh, if not already filed) of the petitioner, in accordance with law, keeping in view the observations made in the case of ***Pushpa Mehta*** (supra), as expeditiously as possible, but not later than four weeks from today.

4. Till then, the impugned order dated 10.07.2026 (Annex.4) qua the petitioner shall be kept at abeyance. In case it is found that the case of the petitioner is not covered by the judgment rendered in *Pushpa Mehta, ibid*, specific reasons thereof shall be given by passing a speaking order.

5. Pending application(s), if any, stand disposed of.

(DR. NUPUR BHATI),J