

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 14383/2026

CNR: RJHC010661092026

URN: CW / 26247U / 2026

1. Dilip Singh S/o Shri Pratap Singh, Aged About 53 Years, R/o Village Post Barla Basni, Tehsil Tinwari, District Jodhpur At Present Posted At Ggsss, Guda Endla, Block Pali District Pali Rajasthan.
2. Rajesh Kumar S/o Shri Mohan Lal, Aged About 48 Years, R/o Village Post Solana, Tehsil Chirawa, District Jhunjhunu At Present Gsss, Karmawas Maliyan, Block Raipur District Beawar Rajasthan.
3. Madan Singh S/o Ramlal, Aged About 45 Years, R/o Village Shyampura, Po Balsamand, Tehsil Ladhun, District Deedwana-Kuchman At Present Posted At Gsss Badgulla, Block Kumbhalgarh District Rajsamand Rajasthan.
4. Ramesh Chandra Patidar S/o Shri Kuber Patidar, Aged About 50 Years, R/o Village Suwala, Tehsil Bagidaura, District Banswara At Present Posted At Gsss Falwa, Block Anandpuri District Banswara Rajasthan.
5. Subhash Chandra Sharma S/o Shri Pratap Ram Sharma, Aged About 46 Years, R/o Village Sindola Chhota, Tehsil Nechhawa, District Sikar At Present Posted At Gsss Richhed, Block Kumbhalgarh District Rajsamand Rajasthan.
6. Om Prakash Bishnoi S/o Lekhram Bishnoi, Aged About 55 Years, R/o Village Rotoo, Tehsil Jayal, District Nagaur At Present Posted At Gsss Roopawas, Block Sojat District Pali Rajasthan.
7. Neelam Paliwal W/o Hitesh Pandya, Aged About 40 Years, R/o Vpo Punjpur, Tehsil Aspur, District Dungarpur At Present Posted At Gsss Nootan Banswara, Block And District Banswara Rajasthan.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, Department Of Education, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. The Director, Secondary Education, Bikaner, District Bikaner, Rajasthan.
3. The Joint Director, Secondary Education, Ajmer, District Ajmer, Rajasthan.
4. The Joint Director, Secondary Education, Pali, District Pali, Rajasthan.
5. The Joint Director, Secondary Education, Udaipur, District Udaipur, Rajasthan.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. G.S. Shekhawat for
Mr. T.S. Rathore.

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE NUPUR BHATI

Order

16/07/2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the controversy in question rests covered by the judgment passed by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court at Jaipur in ***S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.7283/2014: Manoj Khandelwal & Ors. Vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors.*** (decided on 16.07.2014). He submits that the petitioners would be satisfied if the respondents are directed to decide the representation of the petitioners in light of the aforesaid judgment.

2. In Manoj Khandelwal's case (supra), it was observed and held as under:

"..... Having regard to the facts of the case, writ petition is disposed of requiring the petitioners to make a representation to respondent no.2-Director, Secondary Education, Bikaner, along with a copy of this order, who shall, after verifying the facts stated above, consider and decide the same by a speaking order within a period of three months from the date of its making, addressing the grievance of the petitioners for extending them the relief as prayed for, as the candidates, who stood lower in merit, are getting benefit of higher pay, seniority, annual grade increments and other service benefits including the selection scales. If the respondent no.2 decides to place the petitioners above in seniority than the candidates who stood lower in merit, then the petitioners would be entitled to all benefits of

seniority but they would be entitled only to notional benefits.”

3. In view of the submission made, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority/respondents to decide the representation of the petitioners if filed within a period of fifteen days from now. The representation be decided within a period of six weeks thereafter in accordance with law and keeping in view the observations made in the case of Manoj Khandelwal (supra).

4. The order has been passed based on the submissions made in the petition and by learned counsel for the petitioners before this Court. The respondents would be free to examine the veracity of the submissions made in the petition and only in case, the averments made therein are found to be correct, appropriate orders would be passed in favour of the petitioner.

5. It is made clear that aforesaid direction to decide there presentation has been issued only with a view to ensure expeditious redressal of petitioners' grievance. The same may not be construed to be an order to decide the representation in a particular manner.

6. Stay petition and pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(DR. NUPUR BHATI),J