

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 9176/2026
CNR: RJHC010654942026
URN: CRLMB / 19921U / 2026

Ajay Alias Kalu S/o Shanti Lal, Aged About 19 Years, S/0 Shanti Lal Age 19 Years R/0 Kagdar Phala Mundadara Police Thana Rishabhdev District Udaipur. (Lodged In Dist. Jail Dungarpur)

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Jitendra Ojha
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Urja Ram Kalbi, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL BENIWAL

Order

09/07/2026

1. This application for bail has been filed by the petitioner under Section 483 of BNSS (old Section 439 of Cr.P.C.). The requisite details of the matter are tabulated herein below:

S.No.	Particulars of the Case	
1.	FIR Number	153/2026
2.	Date of lodging FIR	01.06.2026
3.	Concerned Police Station	Rishabhdev
4.	District	Udaipur
5.	Offences alleged in the FIR	Sections 310(4), 312 and 310(5) of BNS and Sections 4 and 25 of Arms Act.
6.	Offences added, if any	

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case and false allegations have

been levelled against him. Petitioner has been arrested merely on apprehension that he along with the other co-accused persons were found to be mediating and preparing to commit some crime. Co-accused persons namely Raju, Deepak and Vishal have been granted bail by a Coordinate Bench of this Court. The role assigned to the present petitioner in the present crime is not distinguishable in any manner from that of the co-accused persons as the weapon was recovered from petitioner so also from other co-accused persons. He further submits that the petitioner is 19 years old young boy and has no criminal antecedents. The petitioner is in judicial custody since 31.05.2026 and the trial will take sufficiently long time, therefore, he deserves to be enlarged on bail.

3. Learned Public Prosecutor vehemently opposes this bail application, however, he is not in a position to refute the fact that the role assigned to the present petitioner in the present crime is not distinguishable in any manner from the role assigned to the co-accused persons.

4. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Public Prosecutor and perused the material available on record.

5. Having considered the rival submissions, facts and circumstances of this case so also considering the facts that co-accused persons namely Raju, Deepak and Vishal have been granted bail by a Coordinate Bench of this Court; the role assigned to the present petitioner in the present crime is not distinguishable in any manner from the role assigned to the co-accused persons; and the petitioner is of 19 years old young boy and has no

criminal antecedents, in the considered opinion of this Court, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars for an indefinite period as the trial will take sufficiently long time. Thus, without expressing any opinion on merits/demerits of the case, this Court is of the opinion that the bail application filed by the petitioner deserves to be accepted.

6. Accordingly, the bail application filed under Section 483 of BNSS is allowed. It is ordered that petitioner- **Ajay Alias Kalu S/o Shanti Lal**, shall be released on bail in connection with the aforesaid FIR; provided he executes personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with two sound and solvent sureties of Rs.25,000/- each to the satisfaction of learned trial Court for his appearance before that court on each and every date of hearing and whenever called upon to do so till the completion of the trial.

7. It is however, made clear that findings recorded/observations made above are for limited purposes of adjudication of bail application. The trial court shall not get prejudiced by the same.

(SUNIL BENIWAL),J