




**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR**



S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 9152/2026

CNR: RJHC010653062026

URN: CRLMB / 19855U / 2026

Islamudin S/o Shri Ayubdin, Aged About 24 Years, Sindhiyo Ki Dhani Bucheti, Police Station Khedapa, District Jodhpur Rajasthan (Lodged In Central Jail, Jodhpur)

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp
2. Sultan S/o Shri Safi Khan, Kharda Bhandu, Police Station Luni, District Jodhpur Rajasthan

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr.CS Rathore
For Respondent(s)	:	Ms. Sonu Manawat,PP Mr. Pradeep Choudhary

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YOGENDRA KUMAR PUROHIT

Order

22/07/2026

1. The instant bail application has been filed by the applicant **Islamudin S/o Ayubdin** under Section 483 BNSS against the order impugned passed by learned court below in connection with **FIR No.89/2026** registered at **Police Station Rajiv Gandhi Nagar District Jodhpur** for the offence(s) under **Sections 115(2), 126(2), 331(6), 351(3), 78(2) of BNS and Sections 7/8 and 11(4)/12 of POCSO Act.**
2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the case. He further submits that challan has already been filed. Learned counsel submits that the applicant and the prosecutrix used to communicate through



mobile chatting. On 01.04.2026, the applicant was produced before the competent Court under Sections 126 and 170 of the BNSS and was bound down. Subsequently, allegations under the POCSO Act were also levelled against the applicant. He further submits that applicant is behind the bars and trial may take long time to conclude thus, he deserves to be enlarged on bail.

3. Per contra, learned Public Prosecutor, learned counsel for the respondent No.2 opposed the bail application.

4. Perused the statements of the prosecutrix recorded under Sections 180 and 183 of the BNSS whereby it is revealed that allegations of molestation and sexual misconduct have not been made against the applicant and the applicant threatened the prosecutrix with a knife and warned her to remain silent. Considering the submissions advanced on behalf of the parties, and looking to the overall facts and circumstances of the case, without commenting on the merits and demerits of the case, this court deems it just and proper to enlarge the applicant on bail.

5. Accordingly, the bail application under Section 483 BNSS is allowed and it is ordered that the accused-applicant shall be enlarged on bail in the aforesaid FIR provided he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.1,00,000/- with two sureties of Rs.50,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned Lower Court for his appearance before the court concerned on all the dates of hearing as and when called upon to do so.

(YOGENDRA KUMAR PUROHIT),J