

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Writ Petition No. 3173/2026

CNR: RJHC010651082026

URN: CRLW / 4254U / 2026

1. Monika Gurjar D/o Sh. Ram Lal Gurjar, Aged About 25 Years, Village Mehandwas Tehsil And District Tonk Rajasthan
2. Bijendra Singh Gurjar S/o Sh. Tariram Gurjar, Aged About 28 Years, Village Kheenchawa Tehsil And District Dausa Rajasthan

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp
2. The Director General Of Police, Rajasthan Jodhpur
3. Superintendent Of Police, Bikaner Rajasthan
4. Superintendent Of Police, Tonk Rajasthan
5. Station House Officer, Police Station Mehandwas
6. Ram Lal Gurjar S/o Chhitar Lal Gurjar, Village Mehandwas Tehsil And District Tonk Rajasthan
7. Kavita Gurjar W/o Ajeet Kumar, Village Koliyawas Tehsil Mangal Rajawatan District Dausa Rajasthan
8. Padam Kumar Gurjar S/o Ram Gopal Gurjar, Village Koliyawas Tehsil Mangal Rajawatan District Dausa Rajasthan
9. Mukesh Kumar Gurjar S/o Ram Gopal Gurjar, Village Koliyawas Tehsil Mangal Rajawatan District Dausa Rajasthan
10. Laxmi Narayan S/o Ram Gopal Gurjar, Village Koliyawas Tehsil Mangal Rajawatan District Dausa Rajasthan
11. Ajeet Kumar S/o Ram Gopal Gurjar, Village Koliyawas Tehsil Mangal Rajawatan District Dausa Rajasthan
12. Lakhan Devi W/o Mukesh Kumar Gurjar, Village Koliyawas Tehsil Mangal Rajawatan District Dausa Rajasthan
13. Nihal Singh Gurjar S/o Thnandedar Gurjar, Village Koliyawas Tehsil Mangal Rajawatan District Dausa Rajasthan
14. Kalu Ram Gurjar S/o Gopi Chand Gurjar, Village Koliyawas Tehsil Mangal Rajawatan District Dausa Rajasthan
15. Rajveer Gurjar S/o Depal Singh Gurjar, Village Koliyawas Tehsil Mangal Rajawatan District Dausa Rajasthan

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Kunal Sharma
For Respondent(s) : Mr. Shriram Choudhary, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP MATHUR

Order

08/07/2026

The criminal writ petition has been preferred by the petitioners under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking direction for being provided with adequate security and protection.

The petitioners both being major persons claim to be in a live in relationship. They submit that they are living with each other against the wishes of their parents and thus, they feel threat at the hands of private respondents, who are their relatives. The petitioners allegedly approached the concerned respondent authorities with a prayer to be provided with adequate protection but no heed has been paid to their request so far.

The documents pertaining to the age of the petitioners and an *ikrarnama* verifying the factum of them being in a live in relationship have been filed on record. Thus, taking cue from the judgment rendered by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Lata Singh Vs. State of U.P. Reported in AIR 2006 SC 2522***, the prayer made by the petitioners for directing the concerned respondent authorities to provide protection to the petitioners deserves to be accepted.

The concerned respondent authorities shall have the matter enquired into and if so required, appropriate protection shall be provided to the petitioners as and when warranted. The concerned

respondent authorities shall ensure that no harm is caused to the petitioners, who are in a live in relationship.

The criminal writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

(KULDEEP MATHUR),J