

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Writ Petition No. 3163/2026
CNR: RJHC010648012026
URN: CRLW / 4239U / 2026

1. Himanshi Sain D/o Om Prakash, Aged About 24 Years, Ward No. 19, Basanti Chowk, Braham Colony, Sriganganagar Raj.
2. Bajrang Goyal S/o Suresh Goyal, Aged About 29 Years, 11/2, Ward No, 19, Ramesh Basti, Basanti Chowk, chowk Are Ke Pas, Sriganganagar Raj.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Ministry Of Home Affairs, Jaipur
2. Superintendent Of Police, Sriganganagar, Through government Advocate, Rajasthan High Court, Jodhpurraj.
3. Station House Officer, Police Station Kotwali, District Sriganganagar Raj.
4. Om Prakash S/o Durga Prasad, Ward No. 19, Basanti Chowk, Braham Colony, Sriganganagarraj.
5. Bharat Sain S/o Om Prakash, Wardno. 19, Basanti Chowk, Braham Colony, Sriganganagarraj.
6. Krishan Lal S/o Name Not Known, Near Railway Station, Abohar, District Fazilka
7. Sunil Sain S/o Krishan Lal, 53, Sector- B, Phase - 2, Defence Colony, Tundala Kalarheri Road, shiv Dham Mandir, Behind Col. Benerjee, Ambala Cantt. Haryana.
8. Sanjay Chouhan S/o Name Not Known, Near Bus Stand, Abohar, District Fazilka Punjab

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Himmat Jagga Ms. Tania Chugh
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Shriram Choudhary, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP MATHUR

Order

08/07/2026

The criminal writ petition has been preferred by the petitioners under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking direction for being provided with adequate security and protection.

The petitioners both being major persons claim to be in a live in relationship. They submit that they are living with each other against the wishes of their parents and thus, they feel threat at the hands of private respondents, who are their relatives. The petitioners allegedly approached the concerned respondent authorities with a prayer to be provided with adequate protection but no heed has been paid to their request so far.

The documents pertaining to the age of the petitioners and an *ikrarnama* verifying the factum of them being in a live in relationship have been filed on record. Thus, taking cue from the judgment rendered by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Lata Singh Vs. State of U.P. Reported in AIR 2006 SC 2522***, the prayer made by the petitioners for directing the concerned respondent authorities to provide protection to the petitioners deserves to be accepted.

The concerned respondent authorities shall have the matter enquired into and if so required, appropriate protection shall be provided to the petitioners as and when warranted. The concerned respondent authorities shall ensure that no harm is caused to the petitioners, who are in a live in relationship.

The criminal writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

(KULDEEP MATHUR),J