




**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR**



S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 9087/2026

CNR: RJHC010645582026

URN: CRLMB / 19698U / 2026

Ramdin S/o Shri Udaram, Aged About 22 Years, Resident Of
Sankhali Nadi, Ramdawas Kalla, Tehsil Pipar Shahar, District
Jodhpur (Presently Lodged At Sub Jail, Pichiyak Jodhpur)

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Public Prosecutor

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Deepak Bishnoi
For Respondent(s)	:	Ms. Sonu Manawat, PP Mr. Dinesh

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YOGENDRA KUMAR PUROHIT

Order

21/07/2026

1. The instant bail application has been filed by the applicant **Ramdin S/o Udaram** under Section 483 BNSS against the order impugned passed by learned court below in connection with **FIR No.53/2026** registered at **Police Station Kaparda District Jodhpur (Rural)** for the offence(s) under **Sections 80(2) & 85 of BNS**.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the case. He further submits that there is no allegation of dowry and murder against the applicant in the present matter. He is employed in Telangana. Learned counsel submits that his eight-month-old daughter and four-years-old son are residing with applicant family, and the applicant is primarily responsible for their care and upbringing. He further submits that applicant is behind the bars and trial may take long time to conclude thus, he deserves to be enlarged on bail.



3. Per contra, learned Public Prosecutor and learned counsel for the complainant opposed the bail application. Learned counsel submits that challan has not been filed in this matter and the statement of the witness are also yet to be recorded.

4. Perused the case diary and statements of witnesses including complainant under Section 180 BNSS. According to statements of the witnesses, whenever the deceased's sister-in-law (nanad) visited the matrimonial home, disputes would arise between the bhabhi and her sister-in-law, and the husband was allegedly instigated by the sister-in-law. However, there are no allegations of dowry demand. The accused has two minor children.

5. Considering the submissions advanced on behalf of the parties, perusing the statements of witnesses under Section 180 BNSS and looking to the overall facts and circumstances of the case, without commenting on the merits and demerits of the case, this court deems it just and proper to enlarge the applicant on bail.

6. Accordingly, the bail application under Section 483 BNSS is allowed and it is ordered that the accused-applicant shall be enlarged on bail in the aforesaid FIR provided he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.1,00,000/- with two sureties of Rs.50,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned Lower Court for his appearance before the court concerned on all the dates of hearing as and when called upon to do so.

(YOGENDRA KUMAR PUROHIT),J