



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR**

D.B. Habeas Corpus Petition No. 410/2026
CNR: RJHC010643262026
URN: HC / 644U / 2026

Ghasi Ram S/o Shri Lilu Ram, Aged About 30 Years, Resident Of
Ward No 7, Loodniyachhota, Tehsil Taranagar, District Churu.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department Of Home, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. The Police Commissioner, Of Jaipur.
3. The Superintendent Of Police, Jaipur Rural.
4. Station House Officer, Police Station Samod District Jaipur.
5. The Superintendent Of Police, Churu.
6. Station House Officer, Police Station Sahwa, District Churu.
7. Mukesh S/o Shri Bansi Lal, Resident Chakkiwala Mohalla Balsa Kushalpura, District Jaipur.
8. Kalu Ram, Sub Inspector, Police Station Samod District Jaipur.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. S.S. Gaur.
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Deepak Choudhary GA-cum-AAG, Mr. Kunal Kalla, Mr. Kaluram, ASI, Mrs. Manju, LFC 2089, PS Samod, Distt. Jaipur.

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINIT KUMAR MATHUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR SHARMA**

Order

15/07/2026

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The present Habeas Corpus has been filed by the petitioner who claims that he has solemnized marriage with the corpus Mst. "P" and she is illegally detained by the private respondents.

3. In pursuance of the directions issued by this Court the corpus Mst. "P" has been produced before us.

4. We have conferred with the corpus. She has stated that she is 21 years of age and understands her well being fairly well. She further stated that she was forcefully taken away by the present petitioner and was made to solemnize marriage against her wish. She also stated that she is happily living with her parents and wants to go and live with them only. She stated that she is not in illegal detention of any person including private respondents.

5. In view of the statements made before us we are of the view that the corpus Mst. "P" is not in illegal detention of any person including private respondents.

6. The present Habeas Corpus Petition, therefore, fails and the same is dismissed.

7. The amount of Rs. 25,000/- deposited by the petitioner stands forfeited.

(CHANDRA SHEKHAR SHARMA),J

(VINIT KUMAR MATHUR),J