


**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR**



S.B. Criminal Writ Petition No. 3111/2026

CNR: RJHC010642842026

URN: CRLW / 4172U / 2026

1. Jhangel D/o Sawai Singh, Aged About 20 Years, Resident Of Dharna, Tehsil Siwana, District Barmer At Present Reside At Pau, Tehsil Siwana, District Barmer (Raj).
2. Guman Singh S/o Jog Singh, Aged About 33 Years, Resident Of Pau, Tehsil Siwana, District Barmer Raj.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Chief Secretary, Ministry Of Home Affairs, Jaipur Raj.
2. The Superintendent Of Police, Balotra (Raj).
3. The Station House Officer, Police Station Siwana, Dist.balotra (Raj).
4. Pukhraj S/o Babu Ji, Resident Of Sella, Tehsil Siwana, District Balotra Raj.
5. Babu Ji S/o Nain Ji, Resident Of Sella, Tehsil Siwana, District Balotra Raj.
6. Paras S/o Vajing, Resident Of Sella, Tehsil Siwana, District Balotra Raj.
7. Jabbar Singh S/o Neti Ji, Resident Of Sella, Tehsil Siwana, District Balotra Raj.
8. Bhanwar S/o Har Ji, Resident Of Sella, Tehsil Siwana, District Balotra Raj..
9. Sawlo S/o Gudar Ji, Resident Of Sella, Tehsil Siwana, District Balotra Raj.
10. Chain Ji S/o Bharta Ji, Resident Of Village Mubari, Tehsil Siwana, District Balotra Raj.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Niraj Soni for
Mr. Ajay Vaishnav

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Ramesh Dewasi, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP MATHUR

06/07/2026

The criminal writ petition has been preferred by the petitioners under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking direction for being provided with adequate security and protection.

The petitioners both being major persons claim to be in a live in relationship. They submit that they are living with each other against the wishes of their parents and thus, they feel threat at the hands of private respondents, who are their relatives. The petitioners allegedly approached the concerned respondent authorities with a prayer to be provided with adequate protection but no heed has been paid to their request so far.

The documents pertaining to the age of the petitioners and an *ikrarnama* verifying the factum of them being in a live in relationship have been filed on record. Thus, taking cue from the judgment rendered by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Lata Singh Vs. State of U.P. Reported in AIR 2006 SC 2522***, the prayer made by the petitioners for directing the concerned respondent authorities to provide protection to the petitioners deserves to be accepted.

The concerned respondent authorities shall have the matter enquired into and if so required, appropriate protection shall be provided to the petitioners as and when warranted. The concerned respondent authorities shall ensure that no harm is caused to the petitioners, who are in a live in relationship.

The criminal writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

(KULDEEP MATHUR),J