

  
**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN  
AT JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 9025/2026  
CNR: RJHC010641952026  
URN: CRLMB / 19584U / 2026

- 1. Sandeep Singh S/o Mukhtyar Singh, Aged About 42 Years, Resident Of 2 T Jodhewala, District Sri Ganganagar (At Present Lodged In Sub Jail, Sri Karanpur)
- 2. Ravi Singh Alias Ravindar Singh S/o Sukhvindar Singh, Aged About 26 Years, Resident Of 2 T Jodhewala, District Sri Ganganagar (At Present Lodged In Sub Jail, Sri Karanpur)
- 3. Kirtan Singh Alias Gurkirtan Singh S/o Sandeep Singh, Aged About 18 Years, Resident Of 2 T Jodhewala District Sri Ganganagar (At Present Lodged In Sub Jail, Sri Karanpur)

-----Petitioners

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through PP

-----Respondent

---

|                   |   |                                                                              |
|-------------------|---|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| For Petitioner(s) | : | Mr. Ganga Ram                                                                |
| For Respondent(s) | : | Mr. Narendra Gehlot, PP with<br>Mr. Om Prakash Choudhary<br>Mr. Himmat Jagga |

---

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR JAIN**

**Order**

**20/07/2026**

- 1. The present bail application under Section 483 of BNSS is filed by the applicants-accused **1. Sandeep Singh S/o Mukhtyar Singh, 2. Ravi Singh Alias Ravindar Singh S/o Sukhvindar Singh and 3. Kirtan Singh Alias Gurkirtan Singh S/o Sandeep Singh** seeking bail in respect of a criminal case registered as FIR No.108/2026 dated

02.06.2026 registered at P.S. Keshari Singhpur District-Sriganganagar, for the offence under Sections 115(2), 126(2) and 189(2) of BNS.

2. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that the applicants have been falsely implicated in the matter and the investigation against them is complete and they are no more required in investigation. He further submits that there are no chance of fleeing of applicants-accused from the jurisdiction of this Hon'ble Court. He also submits that the applicants undertakes not to repeat offence and cooperate with investigation/trial, which will take time.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that after registration of the report, the matter was investigated by the police and no specific role has been assigned to any of the petitioners. He also submitted that the recovery is joint and same was effected from an open place. He further submitted that the factum of animosity has also come on record in the statements of the complainant side. He further referred to the injury report and submitted that if the injured had not been treated properly, only then could Injury No. 2 have been termed dangerous, and there is no clear opinion.
4. Learned Public Prosecutor and learned counsel for the complainant have vehemently opposed the bail application. Learned counsel for the complainant also submitted that Injury No. 2 is dangerous to life and non-assignment of a specific role and the involvement of the present petitioner are not sufficient grounds to grant indulgence of bail.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties and learned Public Prosecutor. Perused the material placed on record by both the parties.
6. On 02.06.2026, the complainant, Rajdeep Singh, lodged a report regarding an incident that occurred in the evening of 01.06.2026, and on the basis of the said report, FIR No. 108/2026 was registered at Police Station Keshari Singhpur, District Sri Ganganagar. The matter was investigated by the police, and during course of investigation, the statements of all the injured persons and other persons present at the spot were recorded. Except Amarjeet Singh @ Jindu, all the injured persons sustained simple injuries. Injury No. 2 upon by Amarjeet Singh @ Jindu has been opined to be grievous and caused by a sharp weapon. A specific opinion has also been furnished by the Medical Jurist indicating that, had proper treatment not been made available, the said injury was dangerous to life. The investigation further suggests that a joint recovery of two sticks and the blade of a grass cutter were effected from all three accused persons. No specific attribution of Injury No. 2 has been made to any of the accused by the Investigating Officer during the investigation. There are no criminal antecedents against any of the petitioners.
7. Upon hearing the arguments and perusing the record, we have found that the applicants-accused is no more required in the investigation and they are in custody for quite some time. The further proceedings will take its own time, therefore, looking to entirety of facts and circumstances of

the case and without expressing any opinion on merits of the case, the Court deems it appropriate to grant bail to the applicants-accused.

8. Thus, the instant bail application filed on behalf of applicants-accused **1. Sandeep Singh S/o Mukhtyar Singh, 2. Ravi Singh Alias Ravindar Singh S/o Sukhvindar Singh and 3. Kirtan Singh Alias Gurkirtan Singh S/o Sandeep Singh**, are hereby allowed and the applicants-accused are ordered to be released on bail upon furnishing a personal bond of ₹50,000/- with two sureties of like amount by each of the petitioner to the satisfaction of the Trial Court with the following conditions:-

- (i) The applicants-accused shall not tamper with evidence or influence the witness in any manner.
- (ii) The applicants-accused shall not indulge in any criminal activity and shall not repeat any criminal offence punishable under the Law.
- (iii) The applicants-accused shall attend the hearing of the Trial Court on the date fixed by the Trial court or as and when asked to appear before the Trial Court.
- (iv) In case of any violation of above conditions, the bail granted to the applicant-accused shall be liable to be canceled.

9. The Registry is directed to send a copy of this order to the Trial Court through E-mail.

**(ASHOK KUMAR JAIN),J**