




**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR**



D.B. Habeas Corpus Petition No. 405/2026
CNR: RJHC010637672026 | URN: HC / 636U / 2026

Mahipal Singh S/o Sultan Singh, Aged About 23 Years, Resident
Of Village Vada Bharvi, Sewari, District Jalore, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Principal Secretary,
Department Of Home, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. Superintendent Of Police, District Balotra, Rajasthan.
3. Station House Officer, Police Station Jasol, District
Balotra, Rajasthan.
4. Sohan Lal S/o Sawaram, Resident Of Village Tilwara,
District Barmer, Rajasthan.
5. Mishri Ram S/o Sawaram, Resident Of Village Tilwara,
District Barmer, Rajasthan.
6. Lunsingh S/o Harisingh Rajput, Resident Of Village
Tilwara, District Barmer, Rajasthan.
7. Ganesha Ram S/o Roopa Ram, Resident Of Pachpadra,
District Balotra, Rajasthan
8. Narayan Singh S/o Jog Singh Rajput, Resident Of Village
Tilwara, District Barmer, Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Peerane Khan
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Deepak Choudhary, AAG
		Mr. Mangilal, ASI
		Ms. Indra
		P.S. Jasol, Balotra

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINIT KUMAR MATHUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR SHARMA**

Order

23/07/2026

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The present habeas corpus petition has been filed by the
petitioner who claims himself to be husband of corpus Mst. 'J' who
is stated to be under illegal detention of the private respondents.



3. In pursuance of the directions of this Court, the corpus Mst. 'J' has been produced before this Court.

4. We have conferred with the corpus. She stated that she is 20 years of age and has studied upto 12th standard. She further stated that she was eloped by the petitioner and the marriage was solemnized under misconception. She stated that now she is not desirous to accompany with the petitioner and wants to live with the private respondents on her free will without pressure from any side and she is not in illegal detention of anyone including the private respondents. She also stated that the petitioner is harassing her for no reason and she is not inclined to even talk to him.

5. In view of the submissions made before us by the corpus Mst. 'J', we are of the opinion that she is not in illegal detention of anyone including private respondents.

6. Consequently, the present habeas corpus petition being devoid of force, is dismissed.

7. The amount of Rs.25,000/- as deposited by the petitioner in compliance of directions issued by this Court vide order dated 13.07.2026 stands forfeited.

(CHANDRA SHEKHAR SHARMA),J (VINIT KUMAR MATHUR),J