


**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR**



S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13972/2026

CNR: RJHC010637622026

URN: CW / 25465U / 2026

Himani Dabi W/o Shri Rakesh Kumar Sisodiya, Aged About 31 Years, R/o Village Ward No. 23 Om Colony Churu Dist. Churu Raj.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Principal Secretary Panchayatiraj Dept. Govt. Secretariat Jaipur Raj.
2. The Director, Elementary Education Raj. Bikaner Raj.
3. The Director, Secondary Education Raj. Bikaner Raj.
4. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Dist. Churu Raj.
5. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education Churu Raj.
6. The District Education Officer, Secondary Education Churu Raj.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. O.P. Sangwa.

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE NUPUR BHATI

Order

09/07/2026

1. The petitioner herein, inter alia, seeks a direction to the respondents to transfer her to a nearby place of posting in Block Churu, District Churu, where her husband is employed in light of the Government of Rajasthan Circular dated 23.02.2015.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that petitioner and her husband, both are Government servants. She relies on circular dated 23.02.2015 issued by the Education (Group-2) Department, Government of Rajasthan, which, he states, envisages that in case, both husband and wife are in Government service, efforts will be made to post both the employees at the same place as far as possible.

3. He submits that the petitioner is sanguine that, in case she is allowed to file a fresh representation qua the grievance raised in the present writ petition and the same is taken up on the administrative side, it will receive favorable treatment. Therefore, the competent authority may decide the same as per the applicable transfer policy / couple policy / guidelines of the State, within a reasonable time, in accordance with law.

4. Given the nature of order which is being passed, no prejudice would be caused to the respondents and, therefore, the requirement of issuance of notice is dispensed with as no return is required to be filed by them.

5. In view of the aforesaid submission, without commenting on merits of the case, the petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority to decide the representation to be filed by the petitioner objectively as per the applicable transfer policy / couple policy / guidelines of the State. It would be appreciated of the competent authority that the benefit of the couple policy be accorded to the petitioner and endeavour be made to transfer them at same place to enable them to enjoy family bonhomie, subject, of course, to the availability of the post at the same place.

6. It is made clear that the direction to consider the representation shall not be construed as an expression of any opinion on merits of the case, in any manner.

7. Pending application(s), if any, also stand(s) disposed of.

(DR.NUPUR BHATI),J