

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Writ Petition No. 3089/2026

1. Neeta Kanwar D/o Pratap Singh, Aged About 22 Years, R/o Village Aasana, Tehsil Sayla, district Jalore Rajasthan.
2. Govind Singh S/o Jog Singh, Aged About 23 Years, R/o Village Basdadhanji, Tehsil Jaswantpura, District Jalore Rajasthan.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, department Of Home Affairs, Govt. Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. Superintendent Of Police, 2. superintendent Of Police, Jalore, Rajasthan.
3. Station House Officer, 3. station House Officer, Sayla, District Jalore Rajasthan.
4. Station House Officer, 4. station House Officer, Jaswantpura, District Jalore Rajasthan.
5. Pratap Singh S/o Shivnath Singh,, Resident Of village Aasana, Tehsil Sayla, District Jalore Raj.
6. Mangal Singh S/o Vag Singh, Mangal Singh S/o Vag Singh, Resident Of village Aasana, Tehsil Sayla, District Jalore Raj.
7. Lal Singh S/o Shivnath Singh,, Lal Singh S/o Shivnath Singh, Resident Of village Aasana, Tehsil Sayla, District Jalore Raj.
8. Aamb Singh S/o Shivnath Singh,, Aamb Singh S/o Shivnath Singh, Resident Of village Aasana, Tehsil Sayla, District Jalore Raj.
9. Uttam Singh S/o Padam Singh, Uttam Singh S/o Padam Singh Resident Of village Jairan, Tehsil Bagoda, District Jalore Rajasthan.
10. Chandan Singh S/o Durg Singh, Chandan Singh S/o Durg Singh, Resident Of village Jairan, Tehsil Bagoda, District Jalore Rajasthan

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Gajendra Singh Shekhawat

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Narendra Singh, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP MATHUR

Order

03/07/2026

The criminal writ petition has been preferred by the petitioners under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking direction for being provided with adequate security and protection.

The petitioners both being major persons claim to be in a live in relationship. They submit that they are living with each other against the wishes of their parents and thus, they feel threat at the hands of private respondents, who are their relatives. The petitioners allegedly approached the concerned respondent authorities with a prayer to be provided with adequate protection but no heed has been paid to their request so far.

The documents pertaining to the age of the petitioners and an *ikrarnama* verifying the factum of them being in a live in relationship have been filed on record. Thus, taking cue from the judgment rendered by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Lata Singh Vs. State of U.P. Reported in AIR 2006 SC 2522***, the prayer made by the petitioners for directing the concerned respondent authorities to provide protection to the petitioners deserves to be accepted.

The concerned respondent authorities shall have the matter enquired into and if so required, appropriate protection shall be provided to the petitioners as and when warranted. The concerned respondent authorities shall ensure that no harm is caused to the petitioners, who are in a live in relationship.



The criminal writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

(KULDEEP MATHUR),J

1-himanshu/-