

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13922/2026

CNR: RJHC010635692026

URN: CW / 25363U / 2026

Dr. Manoj Kumar Suman S/o Shri Madan Lal Suman, Aged About 48 Years, Resident Of Vpo And Tehsil Digod. District Kota. Presently Posted As Assistant Professor (Utb) At Dr. Sn Medical College, Jodhpur (Raj.)

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Principal Secretary, Department Of Medical Education (Group -1), Govt. Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. Secretary, Medical Health And Family Welfare Department Government Of Rajasthan Secretariat Jaipur.
3. Rajasthan Public Service Commission, Through Its Secretary, Ajmer.
4. The Principal And Controller, Dr. Sn Medical College, Jodhpur.
5. National Medical Commission, Through Its Secretary, Sector 8, Pocket 14 Phase-1, Dwarka, New Delhi 110077.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Yashpal Khileree
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Manan Mehta

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE NUPUR BHATI

Order

15/07/2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the respondents have issued corrigendum advertisement dated 01.01.2025 with the stipulation that the upper age limit in Sub-Rule (1) of Rule 11 of Rules of 1962, in the case of persons serving in connection with the affairs of the State in substantive

capacity, the upper age-limit shall be 40 years. He submits that the petitioner was afforded appointment on 14.03.2011 and was subsequently confirmed in service on 27.06.2013. He submits that thus the upper age limit in the case of the petitioner is 40 years and further the petitioner is eligible for relaxation of 5 years falling in the category of OBC.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that the respondents in the corrigendum advertisement have further stipulated another condition that since the recruitment for the various posts, including the post for which the petitioner has applied, was not held subsequent to the year 2022, therefore, the candidates are entitled to further relaxation of 3 years. He submits that if the said relaxation is extended to the petitioner, the petitioner would be eligible as far as the age is concerned however, the respondents without extending the said age relaxation to the petitioner have declared the petitioner as ineligible considering him beyond the upper age limit.

3. Issue notice to the respondents. Issue notice of stay application as well.

4. Mr. Manan Mehta, associate to Mr. Sidharth Tatia accept notice on behalf of respondent no. 5.

5. In the meanwhile, and until further orders, the respondents are directed to permit the petitioner to participate in the subsequent selection process, however, the petitioner shall not be afforded appointment without the leave of this Court.

(DR.NUPUR BHATI),J