

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 8797/2026

CNR: RJHC010632742026

URN: CRLMB / 19122U / 2026

1. Harmeet Kaur W/o Kawaljeet Singh Chhabra, Aged About 50 Years, B-906, Gala Luxuria, South Bopal, Bopal, District Ahmedabad (Gujarat).
2. Arjan Singh S/o Kawaljeet Singh Chhabra, Aged About 25 Years, B-906, Gala Luxuria, South Bopal, Bopal, District Ahmedabad (Gujarat).

-----Petitioners

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Public Prosecutor

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Nikhil Ajmera
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Urja Ram Kalbi, PP
For Complainant(s)	:	Mr. Surendra Thanvi

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL BENIWAL

Order

21/07/2026

1. This bail application under Section 482 BNSS has been filed by the petitioners apprehending their arrest. The requisite details of the matter are tabulated herein below:

S.No.	Particulars of the Case	
1.	FIR Number	0179/2025
2.	Date of lodging FIR	04.07.2025
3.	Concerned Police Station	Banswara
4.	District	Banswara
5.	Offences alleged in the FIR	Sections 420 & 406 IPC
6.	Offences added, if any	----

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioner No.1 is a lady aged about 50 years. He further submits that the allegation against the petitioners is that they conspired with co-accused Kawaljeet Singh Chhabra to commit the offence in question whereas there is no concrete material to indicate that the petitioners deceived the complainant. He also submits that the offences alleged against the petitioners are triable by Magistrate and petitioners are ready to join investigation as required by the Investigating Officer, therefore, no fruitful purpose would be served by sending the petitioners behind the bars. It is thus, prayed that the petitioners may be granted benefit of anticipatory bail.

3. Learned Public Prosecutor so also learned counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant vehemently oppose the grant of benefit of anticipatory bail.

4. Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

5. Having considered the rival submissions, facts and circumstances of this case so also considering the fact that the petitioner No.1 is a lady aged about 50 years; the principal accused in the present case is Kawaljeet Singh Chhabra; the investigation so far indicates that the allegation against the present petitioners is that they are partners in a LLP in whose bank account some amount was transferred by co-accused Kawaljeet; and actual involvement is yet to be conclusively established by the Investigating Officer, in the considered opinion of this Court, no fruitful purpose would be served by sending the petitioners behind the bars. Thus, without expressing any opinion

on merits/demerits of the case, this Court is of the opinion that the bail application filed by the petitioners deserves to be accepted.

6. Accordingly, the bail application is allowed and it is directed that in the event of arrest of **petitioners – Harmeet Kaur W/o Kawaljeet Singh Chhabra and Arjan Singh S/o Kawaljeet Singh Chhabra** in connection with the aforesaid FIR, the petitioners shall be released on bail; provided they furnish a personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- each along with two sureties of Rs.25,000/- each to the satisfaction of the concerned Investigating Officer/S.H.O. on the following conditions :-

- (i)- that the petitioners shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;
- (ii)- that the petitioners shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade their from disclosing such facts to the court or any police officer; and
- (iii)- that the petitioners shall not leave India without previous permission of the court.

(SUNIL BENIWAL),J