

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Writ Petition No. 3070/2026

CNR: RJHC010622652026

URN: CRLW / 4117U / 2026

1. Sumitra D/o Munna Ram, Aged About 23 Years, W/o Prakash, R/o Alkeshwar Nagar, Dalibai Circle, Jodhpur At Present- Meghwalo Ka Bas, Dhundhara, Tehsil Luni, District Jodhpur.
2. Prakash S/o Dema Ram, Aged About 28 Years, R/o Meghwalo Ka Bas, Dhundhara, Tehsil Luni, District Jodhpur.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Chief Secretary, department Of Home Affairs, Jaipur.
2. Commissioner Of Police, Jodhpur.
3. Superintendent Of Police, Balotara.
4. Station House Officer, Police Station Luni, District Jodhpur.
5. Station House Officer, Police Station Samdari, District Balotara.
6. Munna Ram S/o Joga Ram, R/o- Dheendhas, Balotara.
7. Satish Kumar S/o Joga Ram, R/o- Dheendhas, Balotara.
8. Madan Lal S/o Joga Ram, R/o- Dheendhas, Balotara.
9. Nava Ram S/o Deva Ram, R/o- Dheendhas, Balotara
10. Rama Ram S/o Dema Ram, R/o- Meghwalo Ka Bas, Dhundhara, Tehsil Luni, District Jodhpur.
11. Hukma Ram S/o Dema Ram, R/o- Meghwalo Ka Bas, Dhundhara, Tehsil Luni, District Jodhpur.
12. Mangilal S/o Joga Ram, R/o- Meghwalo Ka Bas, Dhundhara, Tehsil Luni, District Jodhpur.
13. Surya S/o Santosh Kumar, R/o- Dheendhas, Balotara.
14. Shankar Ram S/o Chanwal Ram, R/o- Umakali, District Pali.
15. Ranchhod Ram S/o Chanwal Ram, R/o- Umakali, District Pali.
16. Manish S/o Chanwal Ram, R/o- Umakali, District Pali.

17. Priyanka W/o Mansh, R/o- Umakali, District Pali.
18. Laxman Ram S/o Unknown, R/o-Panchpadariya, District Pali.
19. Deva Ram S/o Rawat Ram, R/o- Meghwalo Ka Bas, Dhundhara, Tehsil Luni, District Jodhpur.
20. Heera Ram S/o Trilok Ram, R/o- Meghwalo Ka Bas, Dhundhara, Tehsil Luni, District Jodhpur.
21. Khima Ram S/o Kesa Ram, R/o- Dheendhas, Balotara

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Kailash Meghwal
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Shriram Choudhary, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP MATHUR

Order

08/07/2026

The criminal writ petition has been preferred by the petitioners under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking direction for being provided with adequate security and protection.

The petitioners both being major persons claim to have performed a love marriage. They submit that the marriage was performed against the wishes of their parents and thus, they feel threat to their lives at the hands of private respondents, who are their relatives. The petitioners allegedly approached the concerned respondent authorities, with a prayer to be provided with adequate protection but no heed has been paid to their request so far.

The documents pertaining to the age of the petitioners and the marriage ceremony performed between them have been filed on record. Thus, taking cue from the judgment rendered by the

Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Lata Singh Vs. State of U.P. Reported in AIR 2006 SC 2522***, the prayer made by the petitioners for directing the concerned respondent authorities to provide protection to the petitioners deserves to be accepted.

The concerned respondent authorities shall have the matter enquired into and if so required, appropriate protection shall be provided to the petitioners as and when warranted. The concerned respondent authorities shall ensure that no harm is caused to the petitioners, who have performed a love marriage.

The criminal writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

(KULDEEP MATHUR),J