

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 8677/2026
CNR: RJHC010622352026
URN: CRLMB / 18799U / 2026

- 1. Bhimraj S/o Kalu Ram, Aged About 33 Years, R/o Pal Nithauva Fala Unchi Magari, Police Station Nithau, District Dungarpur
- 2. Bhagwati Lal S/o Nariaya, Aged About 35 Years, Pal Nithauva Fala Unchi Magari, Police Station Nithau, District Dungarpur.

----Petitioners

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through PP

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Ms. Komal R Verma
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Urja Ram Kalbi, PP Mr. Ravindra Singh Bhati, AGA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL BENIWAL

Order

17/07/2026

1. This bail application under Section 482 BNSS has been filed by the petitioner apprehending his arrest. The requisite details of the matter are tabulated herein below:

S.No.	Particulars of the Case	
1.	FIR Number	0058/2026
2.	Date of lodging FIR	24.04.2026
3.	Concerned Police Station	Nithuwa
4.	District	Dungarpur
5.	Offences alleged in the FIR	Section 189(2), 115(2), 126(2) and 332(c) of BNS, 2023
6.	Offences added, if any	-

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have falsely been implicated in the present case. As a matter of fact, the present FIR is a counter blast to the earlier FIR bearing No.0057/2026 lodged by brother of petitioner No.2 against the complainant and thus, no fruitful purpose would be served by sending the petitioners behind the bars. It is thus, prayed that the petitioners may be extended the benefit of anticipatory bail.

3. Per contra learned Public Prosecutor vehemently opposes the prayer to extend the benefit of anticipatory bail and submits that allegation against the present petitioners is of causing grievous injury and the injured lady has lost her sight permanently. Therefore, looking to the nature of the injury caused by the petitioners they are not entitled to extend the benefit of anticipatory bail.

4. Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

5. Having considered the rival submissions, facts and circumstances of this case and after perusing the case diary so also considering the injury caused by the present petitioners which is grievous in nature, without further commenting on merits of the case, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to petitioners.

6. Accordingly, the present anticipatory bail application is dismissed.

(SUNIL BENIWAL),J