

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

सत्यमेव जयते

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 16339/2026
CNR: RJHC010621912026 | URN: CW / 4040U / 2026

1. Sangita D/o Papu Ram, Aged About 18 Years, R/o Nokha Chandawata, Po Nokha Chandawatan, District Nagaur, Rajasthan.
2. Subhash S/o Ramdeen Ram, Aged About 24 Years, R/o Burachchha, Burchha, Jodhpur Rajasthan.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, Department Of Home Affairs, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. Superintendent Of Police, District Nagaur (Raj)
3. Police Station House Officer, Gotan, District Nagaur.
4. Papu Ram Bhadana S/o Gevar Ram, R/o Nokha, District Nagaur, Rajasthan.
5. Ramuram Bhadana S/o Gevar Ram, R/o Nokha, District Nagaur, Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. M.S. Soni
For Respondent(s)	:	

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAMEER JAIN

Judgment / Order

30/07/2026

The present petition has been listed before this Bench in pursuance to the directions passed by the Division Bench of this Court in **DB Cr. Writ Petition No. 128/2018** titled **Jiya and Ors. V. State of Rajasthan and Ors.**, relevant excerpt of which is reproduced hereinbelow:

"2. Registry has also entertained it as a Criminal Writ Petition, whereas there is no such concept of Criminal Writ Petition provided in the Constitution.

3. A writ petition can be filed for raising the issues which may also relate to a criminal matter but the same would not be treated as a 'Criminal Writ Petition' and the same can only be registered as a writ petition alone.

4. It is for the Court to examine the case or for the purpose of placing them before the concerned roster. Henceforth, the Registry is directed not to register any case as 'Criminal Writ Petition'."

Learned counsel for the petitioners has thus submitted that the instant matter can be disposed of in light of **S.B. Criminal Writ Petition No. 792/2024** titled **Suman Meena & Anr. Vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors.**, and **S.B. Criminal Misc. (Petition) No. 6388/2021** titled **Pooja Kanwar & Anr. v. State of Rajasthan & Ors.** wherein categorical directions *qua* redressal of grievances of the petitioners are incorporated.

Accordingly, the instant petition is disposed of in terms of the ratio encapsulated in **Suman Meena & Anr. (supra)**, which is squarely applicable in the present set of facts; and shall efficaciously address the prayers made in the present petition. Relevant extract of **Suman Meena & Anr. (supra)**, is reproduced hereinbelow:

"30.7 The following flowchart represents the mechanism delineated under paragraphs 30.1 to 30.6 of this judgment:

Step 1: *The applicant(s) apprehend(s) extra-legal threats to their lives and liberty on the part of other social actors/groups.*

Step 2: *The applicant(s) may file a representation before a designated Nodal Officer, who may or may not have territorial jurisdiction over the matter. [In case the Nodal Officer before whom the representation*

is filed does not have territorial jurisdiction over the matter, the respective Nodal Officer shall undertake the steps specified in paragraph 30.2 of this judgment.]

Step 3: *The respective Nodal Officer having territorial jurisdiction over the matter shall implement measures to ensure interim protection for the applicant(s), if required, on an immediate basis.*

Step 4: *The respective Nodal Officer having territorial jurisdiction over the matter shall consider the representation, afford an opportunity of appearance and hearing to the applicant(s) in person or through an advocate, and decide on the representation in accordance with law within the upper limit of 7 days of the date of receiving the representation.*

Step 5: *If aggrieved of the decision(s)/inaction of the respective Nodal Officer(s) as specified in steps 2 to 4, the applicant(s) may file a representation before the respective Superintendent of Police.*

Step 6: *The respective Superintendent of Police shall consider and decide on the representation in accordance with law within the upper limit of 3 days of the date of receiving the representation.*

Step 7: *If aggrieved of the decision/inaction of the respective Superintendent of Police, the applicant(s) may file a complaint before the appropriate level of the 'Police Complaints Authority'.*

Step 8: *Where (and only where) the applicant(s) is/are aggrieved of the decision of the respective Police Complaints Authority, or the proceedings before the respective Police Complaints Authority are not concluded within a reasonable period of time, the applicant(s) may invoke this Court's jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution for compelling reasons and in accordance with law."*

Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(SAMEER JAIN),J