



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR**



S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 8681/2026

CNR: RJHC010620462026

URN: CRLMB / 18814U / 2026

Mukesh S/o Seeta Ram, Aged About 26 Years, R/o Malawas
Arakshi Kendra Pipar City, District Jodhpur Rural. (Presently
Lodged In Central Jail Jodhpur)

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp
2. Khem Chand Kuldeep S/o Ram Rakh, R/o Principal Govt.
Sr. Secondary School Ravaniyana P.s. Borunda District
Jodhpur Rural

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Doulat Ram
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Prem Singh Panwar, PP None present despite service.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YOGENDRA KUMAR PUROHIT

Order

14/07/2026

1. The instant bail application has been filed by the applicant **Mukesh S/o Seeta Ram** under Section 483 BNSS against the order impugned passed by learned court below in connection with **FIR No.82/2026** registered at **Police Station Borunda District Jodhpur Rural** for the offence(s) under **Sections 74, 78(2) of BNS and Section 7/8 of POCSO Act.**
2. Heard learned counsel for the parties. Perused the material available on record.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. Challan has



already been filed. He further submits that the applicant is in judicial custody and trial of the case will take long time to conclude. Accordingly learned counsel submits that the accused-applicant may be enlarged on bail.

4. Per contra, learned public prosecutor vehemently opposed the bail application.

5. I have considered the rival submissions advanced by the learned counsel for the parties and perused the statement of both the prosecutrix recorded under Section 183 of the BNSS along with the statements of Mahendra Kumar and Shrawan Ram, who have seen accused molesting both the prosecutrix. In the facts and circumstances of the case, it would not be appropriate to release the accused on bail before the statements of both the prosecutrix are recorded before the trial Court.

6. Looking to the nature and gravity of the offences, and keeping in view overall facts and circumstances of the case, without commenting on the merits and demerits of the case, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the accused-applicant at this stage.

7. Accordingly, the bail application is dismissed. The applicant is granted liberty to file fresh bail application after statements of both the prosecutrix are recorded before the trial Court.

(YOGENDRA KUMAR PUROHIT),J