

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

सत्यमेव जयते

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 8708/2026
CNR: RJHC010619902026
URN: CRLMB / 18875U / 2026

Dinesh Kumar S/o Shri Sanwarlal Jat, Aged About 29 Years,
Resident Of Jawanpura Halka P.s Shambhugarh District Bhilwara
(Rajasthan)

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through PP

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Pulkit Pareek
For Respondent(s) : Mr. Lalit Kishor Sen, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL BENIWAL

Order

15/07/2026

1. This bail application under Section 482 BNSS has been filed by the petitioner apprehending his arrest. The requisite details of the matter are tabulated herein below:

S.No.	Particulars of the Case	
1.	FIR Number	113/2026
2.	Date of lodging FIR	06.06.2026
3.	Concerned Police Station	Shambhugarh
4.	District	Bhilwara
5.	Offences alleged in the FIR	Section 303(2) of BNS, 2023 and Sections 4 and 21 of MMDR Act, 1957
6.	Offences added, if any	-

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case and as a matter of

fact, he was carrying out excavation of mining sand pursuant to the permission dated 13.05.2026.

3. Per contra, learned Public Prosecutor vehemently opposes the bail application and submits that on investigation, it is revealed that the petitioner had indulged in illegal excavation of mining sand 'bajri'. It is submitted that the tractor, which was recovered at the site, is registered in the name of the present petitioner. Further, the permission dated 13.05.2026 is not in the name of the present petitioner. It is argued that investigation is yet to be concluded. He also submits that petitioner has criminal antecedent of like nature, so he is not entitled to be enlarged on anticipatory bail.

4. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

5. Having considered the rival submissions, facts and circumstances of this case so also considering the fact that petitioner is having criminal antecedent of like nature; the vehicle seized at the site is registered in the name of the present petitioner and the permission, which is stated to have been granted on 13.05.2026, is not in the name of the present petitioner, no case for grant of anticipatory bail is made out.

6. Accordingly, the instant criminal misc. bail application is dismissed.

(SUNIL BENIWAL),J