

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 8834/2026
CNR: RJHC010619492026
URN: CRLMB / 19183U / 2026

Naresh S/o Shri Vimalchand, Aged About 58 Years, Resident Of
52 Main Road, Ashok Nagar, Udaipur (Rajasthan).

----Petitioner

Versus

State of Rajasthan, Through Public Prosecutor

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Trilok Joshi
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Urja Ram Kalbi, PP Mr. Ravindra Singh Bhati, AGA Mr. Anil Vyas

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL BENIWAL

Order

22/07/2026

1. This bail application under Section 482 BNSS has been filed by the petitioner apprehending his arrest. The requisite details of the matter are tabulated herein below:

S.No.	Particulars of the Case	
1.	FIR Number	184/2023
2.	Date of lodging FIR	30.03.2023
3.	Concerned Police Station	Savina
4.	District	Udaipur
5.	Offences alleged in the FIR	Sections 420, 406, 506 and 120-B of IPC, 1860
6.	Offences added, if any	-

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. He submits that present petitioner is only a guarantor in the present transaction.

The amount which is due towards the complainant, is to be paid by Sanjay and it was Sanjay, who agreed in the mediation to pay the disputed amount to the complainant and thus, no fruitful purpose would be served by sending the petitioner behind the bars. It is thus, prayed that the petitioner may be granted benefit of anticipatory bail.

3. Learned Public Prosecutor and learned counsel appearing for the complainant vehemently oppose the grant of benefit of anticipatory bail.

4. Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

5. Having considered the rival submissions, facts and circumstances of this case so also the fact that the payment is to be made by co-accused Sanjay and the role of the present petitioner is only that of guarantor, in the considered opinion of this Court, no fruitful purpose would be served by sending the petitioner behind the bars. Thus, without expressing any opinion on merits/demerits of the case, this Court is of the opinion that the bail application filed by the applicant deserves to be accepted.

6. Accordingly, the bail application is allowed and it is directed that in the event of arrest of petitioner- **Naresh S/o Shri Vimalchand** in connection with the aforesaid FIR, the petitioner shall be released on bail; provided he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- each along with two sureties of Rs.25,000/- each to the satisfaction of the concerned Investigating Officer/S.H.O. on the following conditions :-

- (i). that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;
- (ii). that the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or any police officer; and
- (iii). that the petitioner shall not leave India without previous permission of the court.

(SUNIL BENIWAL),J