

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

सत्यमेव जयते

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous (Petition) No. 5037/2026
CNR: RJHC010618032026
URN: CRLMP / 9047U / 2026

Jasveer Singh S/o Shri Gurcharan Singh, Aged About 37 Years,
R/o 70 N.p. Tehsil Raisinghnagar, District Sri Ganganagar
(Rajasthan) (Presently Confined In Sub Jail Raisinghnagar)

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp
2. Rajvinder Kaur W/o Jasveer Singh, R/o 85 Lnp, Tehsil
Raisinghnagar, District Sri Ganganagar.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Jaipal Singh
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Sameer Pareek, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BALJINDER SINGH SANDHU

Order

06/07/2026

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, in the present case, the petitioner is in custody in execution of the warrants of recovery issued pursuant to the final order of maintenance passed against him. He submits that the petitioner is ready and willing to deposit 50% of the amount pertaining to the period for which he is presently in custody.

This Court has considered the submissions advanced by learned counsel for the petitioner. Considering that the maintenance proceedings are intended to ensure that the benefit of maintenance reaches the wife, and in view of the petitioner's willingness to deposit 50% of the outstanding amount within a

period of one month, it is directed that, upon such deposit being made, the petitioner shall be released forthwith. The recovery of the remaining 50% amount shall remain stayed. The petitioner further undertakes to continue depositing the monthly maintenance amount regularly from August, 2026 onwards.

Issue notice.

Learned Public Prosecutor accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Let notice be issued to respondent No.2, returnable within four weeks.

However, it is made clear that this order pertains only to the period of 12 months presently under consideration and shall not apply to any other period in respect of which execution proceedings have been initiated or may be initiated in future. It is further clarified that this arrangement is purely interim in nature, and the respondent shall be at liberty to file an application for vacation of the interim order.

(BALJINDER SINGH SANDHU),J