

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13554/2026

Shyam Sunder Pandey S/o Shri Khem Chand Pandey, Aged
About 29 Years, Resident Of Roopwash, Tahtara, Alwar.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Principal Secretary,
Local Self Department, Jaipur.
2. The Director Cum Special Secretary, Local Self
Department, Jaipur.
3. The Chief Medical And Health Officer, Alwar.
4. The Municipal Board, Laxmangarh, District Alwar Through
Its Executive Officer.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Suniel Purohit.

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE NUPUR BHATI

Order

03/07/2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been accorded appointment to the post of Junior Engineer against the physically disabled category vide order dated 19.10.2022 (Annex.4). He submits that on the basis of the disability certificate dated 03.10.2018 (Annex.1) issued by the competent authority, the petitioner's candidature was considered as having a 45% permanent locomotor disability. Counsel further submits that upon satisfactory service, the petitioner was confirmed in service vide order dated 21.10.2024 (Annex.6).
2. He submits that subsequently, upon a complaint against the petitioner alleging that the petitioner sought employment based

on a forged disability certificate, the petitioner's disability was reassessed by the respondents, and the respondents arrived at the conclusion vide communication dated 19.03.2026 (Annex.7) that the disability certificate issued to the petitioner is valid. Counsel submits that thereafter, another similar omnibus complaint was made against the petitioner, pursuant to which, and the respondents have again issued a communication to the petitioner dated 19.06.2026 (Annex.12) directing the petitioner to remain present for reassessment of his disability, pursuant to which, the petitioner appeared before the respondents on 23.06.2026; however, petitioner's disability could not be examined for the purpose of reassessment as the Medical Board was not constituted by the respondents. Counsel further submits that the respondents cannot repeatedly re-assess the disability of the petitioner.

3. The matter requires consideration.

4. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable within four weeks. Issue notice of the stay application as well.

5. In the meanwhile and until the next date of hearing, the respondents are restrained from subjecting the petitioner to reassessment of his disability.

(DR.NUPUR BHATI),J