



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13551/2026

CNR: RJHC010614912026

URN: CW / 24618U / 2026

Mahendra Singatiya S/o Sh. Sampat Raj, aged about 40 years,
R/o Village Post - Ward No. 12, Kuchera, Dist.- Nagaur (Raj.).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan through the Additional Chief Secretary, School Education, Department and Language and Library Department and Panchayati Raj (Elementary Education) Department, Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. The Director, Secondary Education, Rajasthan, Bikaner.
3. The Director, Elementary Education and Panchayati Raj Department, Rajasthan, Bikaner.
4. The District Education Officer, Headquarter, Elementary Education, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
5. The District Education Officer, Headquarter, Elementary Education, District Phalodi, Rajasthan.
6. The District Education Officer, Headquarter, Secondary Education, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
7. The District Education Officer, Headquarter, Secondary Education, District Phalodi, Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. O.P. Sangwa.

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE NUPUR BHATI

Order

13/07/2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the issue raised in the present writ petition is squarely covered by order in



Dashrath Singh & Ors. v. State of Rajasthan & Ors.: S.B. Civil

Writ Petition No.13935/2023, decided on 18.09.2023 and therefore, similar directions may be issued in the present case.

2. In the case of **Dashrath Singh (supra)**, a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, inter alia, observed and directed as under:-

"1. By way of present writ petition, the petitioners have raised a grievance that at the time of initial appointment, they had chosen District Jalore as their preference and they were accorded posting in such district, however, after creation of District Sanchore as a new district, their area of posting has fallen under District Sanchore. The petitioners want to have their posting in District Jalore.

2. It is the contention of the petitioners that opportunity to fill option forms for changing preferred district have been given to similar employees by the Director, Secondary Education, however, no such opportunity has been given to the petitioners, who want to remain in District Jalore, though their area of posting after creation of District Sanchore falls under Sanchore.

3. The present writ petition is, therefore, disposed of with a direction to the petitioners to file a representation before the Director, Secondary Education along with certified copy of the order instant and requisite documents, including the notification creating District Sanchore as a separate district.

4. In case, any representation along with the certified copy of the order instant is addressed, the Director, Secondary Education shall consider petitioners' representation in accordance with law and call for option from the petitioners within a period of one month from today.

5. It is made clear that aforesaid direction to decide the representation has been issued only with a view to ensure expeditious redressal of petitioners' grievance. The same may not be construed to be an order to decide the representation in a particular manner.

6. The stay application also stands disposed of accordingly."

3. Petitioner has made only a limited prayer seeking a direction to the respondents to decide the representation, and no relief has been sought for adjudication on the merits of the case.

4. Accordingly, without issuing notice to the respondents and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the



present writ petition is disposed of with similar direction as given in the case of Dashrath Singh (supra).

5. Stay application also stands disposed of accordingly.

(DR. NUPUR BHATI),J