

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Writ Petition No. 2958/2026

1. Ramila Jat C/o Ashok Jatt, Aged About 21 Years, Resident Of Naya Kheda, Raipur, Dist.- Bhilwara, Rajasthan at Present Resident of Word No. 10, Doonga Ka Khera, Rajsamand, Dist.- Rajsamand, Rajasthan.
2. Ashok Jat S/o Shri Parasmal Jat, Aged About 23 Years, Resident of Word No. 10, Doonga Ka Khera, Rajsamand, dist.- Rajsamand, Rajasthan.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Chief Secretary, Ministry Ofhome Affairs, Jaipur Raj.
2. Director General Of Police, Govt. Of Rajasthan Police Headquarter, Jaipur
3. The Superintendent Of Police, Bhilwara.
4. The Sho, Police Station- Raipur, Dist.-Bhilwara.
5. Badri Lal S/o Sukhdev Jatt, Aged About 50 Years, Residentof Sehla Kheda, Raipur, P.s.- Raipur Dist.- Bhilwara,rajasthan
6. Loubh Chandra, Aged About 60 Years, Resident Kishanpura, Raipur, P.s.- Raipur Dist.- Bhilwara,rajasthan.
7. Ramesh S/o Mana Ram, Aged About 30 Years, Resident Of Hemakheda, Raipur, P.s.- Raipur Dist.- Bhilwara,rajasthan
8. Shankari Bai W/o Mangi Lal, Aged About 70 Years, Resident Of Amba Kheda, Raipur, P.s.- Raipur Dist.- Bhilwara, Rajasthan.
9. Geeta D/o Mangi Lal, Aged About 40 Years, Resident Ofamba Kheda, Raipur, P.s.- Raipur Dist.- Bhilwara,rajasthan
10. Ghisu Lal S/o Shiv Lal, Aged About 45 Years, Resident Of Rali Kheda, Raipur, P.s.- Raipur Dist.-Bhilwara, Rajasthan
11. Jaswant Singh, Aged About 35 Years, Present Constable At Raipur Police Station, Dist.- Bhilwara.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Harshit Yadav
For Respondent(s) : Mr. Ramesh Dewasi, PP
Mr. Shriram Choudhary, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP MATHUR

Order

03/07/2026

The criminal writ petition has been preferred by the petitioners under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking direction for being provided with adequate security and protection.

The petitioners both being major persons claim to have performed a love marriage. They submit that the marriage was performed against the wishes of their parents and thus, they feel threat to their lives at the hands of private respondents, who are their relatives. The petitioners allegedly approached the concerned respondent authorities, with a prayer to be provided with adequate protection but no heed has been paid to their request so far.

The documents pertaining to the age of the petitioners and the marriage ceremony performed between them have been filed on record. Thus, taking cue from the judgment rendered by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Lata Singh Vs. State of U.P. Reported in AIR 2006 SC 2522***, the prayer made by the petitioners for directing the concerned respondent authorities to provide protection to the petitioners deserves to be accepted.

The concerned respondent authorities shall have the matter enquired into and if so required, appropriate protection shall be provided to the petitioners as and when warranted. The concerned

respondent authorities shall ensure that no harm is caused to the petitioners, who have performed a love marriage.

The criminal writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

(KULDEEP MATHUR),J

54-sonia/-