

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

सत्यमेव जयते

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 8676/2026
CNR: RJHC010612392026
URN: CRLMB / 18797U / 2026

Mukesh Teli @ Mukesh Bhai S/o Bhura Lal Teli, Aged About 40 Years, R/o Koshiyal Police Station Raipur District Bhilwara At Present House No. 37/1 Hanumanpura Kajimiya Ka Tekra Ke Pass Dudheswar Road Ahmdabad Police Station Madhupura District Amdabad Gujrat (Presently Lodged In District Jail Bhilwara)

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through PP

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Sikander Khan
For Respondent(s) : Mr. Urja Ram Kalbi, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL BENIWAL
Order

09/07/2026

1. This application for bail has been filed by the petitioner under Section 483 of BNSS (old Section 439 of Cr.P.C.). The requisite details of the matter are tabulated herein below:

S.No.	Particulars of the Case	
1.	FIR Number	95/2026
2.	Date of lodging FIR	21.04.2026
3.	Concerned Police Station	Asind
4.	District	Bhilwara
5.	Offences alleged in the FIR	Sections 126(2), 115(2) and 140(3) of BNS, 2023
6.	Offences added, if any	Section 67-A of the Information Technology Act, 2000

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case and false allegations have been levelled against him. Co-accused Suresh Kumar Sahu has already been enlarged on bail by Co-ordinate Bench of this Court while considering S.B. Criminal Misc. Bail Application No. 6017/2026. The role assigned to the present petitioner is not distinguishable from that of the co-accused who has already been enlarged on bail vide order dated 02.06.2026. The offence alleged is triable by Magistrate. The petitioner is in judicial custody since 16.06.2026 and the trial will take sufficiently long time, therefore, he deserves to be enlarged on bail.

3. Learned Public Prosecutor vehemently opposes this bail application, however, he is not in a position to refute the fact that the role assigned to the present petitioner is not distinguishable from that of the co-accused Suresh Kumar Sahu and further submits that there is no reasonable apprehension that the petitioner may flee from justice, if enlarged on bail.

4. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Public Prosecutor and perused the material available on record.

5. Having considered the rival submissions, facts and circumstances of this case and after perusing the case diary so also considering the fact that FIR was lodged after a delay of 8 months from the date of alleged incident; the offence alleged is triable by Magistrate and considering that the petitioner has been in judicial custody since 16.06.2026 and that the prosecution has not expressed any apprehension qua the petitioner fleeing away from justice, in case he is enlarged on bail, in the considered

opinion of this Court, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars for an indefinite period as the trial will take sufficiently long time. Thus, without expressing any opinion on merits/demerits of the case, this Court is of the opinion that the bail application filed by the petitioner deserves to be accepted.

6. Accordingly, the bail application filed under Section 483 of BNSS is allowed. It is ordered that petitioner- **Mukesh Teli @ Mukesh Bhai S/o Bhura Lal Teli** shall be released on bail in connection with the aforesaid FIR; provided he executes personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with two sound and solvent sureties of Rs.25,000/- each to the satisfaction of learned trial Court for his appearance before that court on each and every date of hearing and whenever called upon to do so till the completion of the trial.

7. It is however, made clear that findings recorded/observations made above are for limited purposes of adjudication of bail application. The trial court shall not get prejudiced by the same.

(SUNIL BENIWAL),J