

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

सत्यमेव जयते

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 8551/2026
CNR: RJHC010611962026 | URN: CRLMB / 18542U / 2026

Suraj S/o Kanaram, Aged About 37 Years, Resident Of Ajmero Ki Dhani, Majhola Ka Raasta Dungri Kallan, Police Station Maalpura, District Tonk, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Public Prosecutor

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Sudarshan Sharma with Mr. Gaurav Kansara and Mr. Raghuraj Sharma
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Urja Ram Kalbi, PP Mr. C.P. Rajora for complainant

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL BENIWAL

Order

28/07/2026

1. This application for bail has been filed by the petitioner under Section 483 of BNSS (old Section 439 of Cr.P.C.). The requisite details of the matter are tabulated herein below:

S.No.	Particulars of the Case	
1.	FIR Number	101/2025
2.	Date of lodging FIR	16.05.2025
3.	Concerned Police Station	Phulia Kala
4.	District	Bhilwara
5.	Offences alleged in the FIR	Sections 331(4) and 305(a) of BNS.
6.	Offences added, if any	

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case and false allegations have

been levelled against him. The offences alleged against the present petitioner are triable by Magistrate. The petitioner has been made accused merely on the basis of apprehension. The petitioner is in judicial custody since 06.06.2025 and the trial will take sufficiently long time, therefore, he deserves to be enlarged on bail.

3. Learned Public Prosecutor so also learned counsel for the complainant vehemently oppose this bail application.

3.1 Learned Public Prosecutor submits that considering the criminal antecedents against the petitioner, he is not entitled to be enlarged on bail.

4. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record.

5. Having considered the rival submissions, facts and circumstances of this case and after perusing the case diary so also considering the facts that the offences alleged against the present petitioner are triable by Magistrate and the petitioner is in judicial custody since 06.06.2025, in the considered opinion of this Court, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars for an indefinite period as the trial will take sufficiently long time. Thus, without expressing any opinion on merits/demerits of the case, this Court is of the opinion that the bail application filed by the petitioner deserves to be accepted.

6. Accordingly, the bail application filed under Section 483 of BNSS is allowed. It is ordered that petitioner- **Suraj S/o Kanaram**, shall be released on bail in connection with the

aforesaid FIR; provided he executes personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with two sound and solvent sureties of Rs.25,000/- each to the satisfaction of learned trial Court for his appearance before that court on each and every date of hearing and whenever called upon to do so till the completion of the trial.

7. It is however, made clear that findings recorded/observations made above are for limited purposes of adjudication of bail application. The trial court shall not get prejudiced by the same.

(SUNIL BENIWAL),J

20/Ajay Singh