

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Writ Petition No. 2933/2026

1. Sladara D/o Liyakat Ali, Aged About 22 Years, R/o Ward No. 1, Chak 11 Sgm, Sardargarh, Tehsil Suratgarh, District Sriganganagar (Raj).
2. Mohammad Akaram S/o Mohammad Hussain, Aged About 31 Years, R/o Ward No. 3, 15 Shpd, Tehsil Suratgarh, District Sriganganagar And Presently Residing At Ward No. 1, Chak 11 Sgm, Sardargarh, Tehsil Suratgarh, District Sriganganagar (Raj).

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, Home Department, Jaipur (Raj)
2. Superintendent Of Police, Sriganganagar, Through Government Advocate, Rajasthan High Court, Jodhpur (Raj).
3. Station House Officer, Police Station Suratgarh Sadar, District Sriganganagar (Raj).
4. Liyakat Ali S/o Khuda Baksh, R/o Ward No. 1, Chak 11 Sgm, Sardargarh, Tehsil Suratgarh, District Sriganganagar (Raj).
5. Sultan S/o Khuda Baksh, R/o Ward No. 1, Chak 11 Sgm, Sardargarh, Tehsil Suratgarh, District Sriganganagar (Raj).
6. Lakhe Khan S/o Khuda Baksh, R/o Ward No. 1, Chak 11 Sgm, Sardargarh, Tehsil Suratgarh, District Sriganganagar (Raj).
7. Saddam Hussain S/o Sultan, R/o Ward No. 1, Chak 11 Sgm, Sardargarh, Tehsil Suratgarh, District Sriganganagar (Raj).
8. Barkat Ali S/o Khan Mohammad, R/o Ward No. 1, Chak 11 Sgm, Sardargarh, Tehsil Suratgarh, District Sriganganagar (Raj).
9. Munsab S/o Kalu Khan, R/o Ward No. 1, Chak 11 Sgm, Sardargarh, Tehsil Suratgarh, District Sriganganagar (Raj).
10. Talab Hussain S/o Tilu Khan, R/o Ward No. 1, Chak 11

Sgm, Sardargarh, Tehsil Suratgarh, District
Sriganganagar (Raj).

11. Aslam S/o Mohammad Hussain, R/o Ward No. 1, Chak 11
Sgm, Sardargarh, Tehsil Suratgarh, District
Sriganganagar (Raj).
12. Mohammad Umar S/o Mumtaz Khan, R/o Ward No. 1,
Chak 11 Sgm, Sardargarh, Tehsil Suratgarh, District
Sriganganagar (Raj).
13. Shoukat Ali S/o Noor Ahmad, R/o Ward No. 1, Chak 11
Sgm, Sardargarh, Tehsil Suratgarh, District
Sriganganagar (Raj).

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Himmat Jagga

For Respondent(s) : Mr. S.S. Rathore, Dy. GA

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BALJINDER SINGH SANDHU
(VACATION JUDGE)**

Order

25/06/2026

The criminal writ petition has been preferred by the petitioners under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking direction for being provided with adequate security and protection.

The petitioners both being major persons, claim to be in a live in relationship. They submit that they are living with each other against the wishes of their parents and thus, they apprehend threat to their lives at the hands of private respondents. The petitioners allegedly approached the respondent police authorities with a prayer to be provided with adequate protection but no heed has been paid to the request so far.

The documents pertaining to the age of the petitioners and live-in-relationship agreement have been filed on record. Thus,

taking cue from the judgment rendered by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Lata Singh Vs. State of U.P.*** reported in ***AIR 2006 SC 2522***, the prayer made by the petitioners for directing the Superintendent of Police/Commissioner of Police concerned to provide protection to the petitioners deserves to be accepted.

The Superintendent of Police/Commissioner of Police concerned shall have the matter enquired into and if so required, appropriate protection shall be provided to the petitioners as and when warranted. The Superintendent of Police/Commissioner of Police concerned shall ensure that no harm is caused to the petitioners, who are in a live in relationship.

The criminal writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

(BALJINDER SINGH SANDHU), VJ