




**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR**



S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 8643/2026

CNR: RJHC010608792026

URN: CRLMB / 18725U / 2026

Sourabh S/o Satyaveer Singh, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Bibasar
P.s Sadar District Jhunjhunu. (Presently Lodged In Central Jail
Jodhpur)

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Doulat Ram
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Prem Singh Panwar, PP Mr. Hamir Singh, CI PS, Luni

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YOGENDRA KUMAR PUROHIT

Order

14/07/2026

1. The instant bail application has been filed by the applicant **Sourabh S/o Satyaveer Singh** under Section 483 BNSS against the order impugned passed by learned court below in connection with **FIR No.254/2019** registered at **Police Station Luni District Jodhpur City West** for the offence(s) under **Sections 8/15, 25 & 29 of NDPS Act.**

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the case. He further submits that co-accused Kundan Singh was granted bail by the Special Court (NDPS), Cases, Jodhpur on 15.11.2021. Learned counsel further submits that Kundan Singh had sold the vehicle to Amitabh on 08.11.2019 through an agreement, a photocopy of which has



been placed on record before the Trial Court. Learned counsel submits that the investigation conducted by the police does not clearly establish the ownership of the contraband. He further submits that the applicant has been implicated as an accused solely on the basis of the statement of the co-accused, who alleged that the vehicle had been handed over to Sourabh, who, in turn, provided it to the smugglers. The exceptional circumstances incorporated under Section 37 of NDPS Act are present in the case. Learned counsel for the applicant further submits that the applicant is behind the bars and trial may take long time to conclude thus, he deserves to be enlarged on bail.

3. Per contra, learned Public Prosecutor opposed the bail application.

4. The Investigating Officer appears before this Court and submits that no investigation was conducted to verify Kundan Singh's claim that he had sold the vehicle through an agreement, nor was a photocopy of the said agreement obtained from the Trial Court for the purpose of investigation. The Investigating Officer further stated that, according to Kundan Singh, Sourabh had subsequently handed over the vehicle to the smugglers, and on the basis of this statement, Sourabh was arraigned as an accused. The exceptional circumstances mentioned under Section 37 of NDPS Act are present in the case and the Court is satisfied that there are reasonable grounds for believing that the applicant is not guilty of such offence and that he is not likely to commit any offence while on bail. Considering the submissions advanced on behalf of the parties, and looking to the overall facts and circumstances of the case, without commenting on the merits and



demerits of the case, this court deems it just and proper to enlarge the applicant on bail.

5. Accordingly, the bail application under Section 483 BNSS is allowed and it is ordered that the accused-applicant shall be enlarged on bail in the aforesaid FIR provided he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.1,00,000/- with two sureties of Rs.50,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned Lower Court for his appearance before the court concerned on all the dates of hearing as and when called upon to do so.

(YOGENDRA KUMAR PUROHIT),J