

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Writ Petition No. 2915/2026

1. Durga Kumari D/o Rata Ram, Aged About 24 Years, Prabhu Ba Vala Bera, Jato Ki Doran, Sadari, District Pali.
2. Shravan S/o Pema Ram, Aged About 33 Years, Resident Of Parshuram Bageshi Ka Jhupa, Sadri, District Pali.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Chief Secretary, Department Of Home Affairs, Jaipur.
2. The Superintendent Of Police, Pali
3. Station House Officer, Police Station Sadari, District Pali
4. Rataram S/o Jaitaji, R/o Jato Ki Doran, Sadari, Tehsil Desuri, District Pali.
5. Kaku Bai W/o Chunni Lal, R/o Jato Ki Doran, Sadari, Tehsil Desuri, District Pali.
6. Vikram S/o Rataram, R/o Jato Ki Doran, Sadari, Tehsil Desuri, District Pali.
7. Kailash S/o Rataram, R/o Jato Ki Doran, Sadari, Tehsil Desuri, District Pali.
8. Jagdish S/o Genaram, R/o Jato Ki Doran, Sadari, Tehsil Desuri, District Pali.
9. Ramlal S/o Himata Ram, R/o Jato Ki Doran, Sadari, Tehsil Desuri, District Pali.
10. Vimla D/o Rataram, R/o Jato Ki Doran, Sadari, Tehsil Desuri, District Pali.
11. Chhigi D/o Rataram, R/o Jato Ki Doran, Sadari, Tehsil Desuri, District Pali.
12. Pooja D/o Rataram, R/o Jato Ki Doran, Sadari, Tehsil Desuri, District Pali.
13. Kamla D/o Rataram, R/o Jato Ki Doran, Sadari, Tehsil Desuri, District Pali.
14. Shanti D/o Rataram, R/o Jato Ki Doran, Sadari, Tehsil Desuri, District Pali.
15. Jogaram S/o Jeevaram, R/o Jato Ki Doran, Sadari, Tehsil Desuri, District Pali.
16. Malaram S/o Chunaram, R/o Jato Ki Doran, Sadari, Tehsil

Desuri, District Pali.

17. Mangi Lal S/o Jetaram, R/o Jato Ki Doran, Sadari, Tehsil Desuri, District Pali.
18. Mitha Lal S/o Vaga Ram, R/o Jato Ki Doran, Sadari, Tehsil Desuri, District Pali.
19. Ramesh S/o Gamnaram, R/o Jato Ki Doran, Sadari, Tehsil Desuri, District Pali.
20. Prakash S/o Vagaram, R/o Jato Ki Doran, Sadari, Tehsil Desuri, District Pali.
21. Raju S/o Motilal, R/o Jato Ki Doran, Sadari, Tehsil Desuri, District Pali.
22. Suresh S/o Kana Ram, R/o Jato Ki Doran, Sadari, Tehsil Desuri, District Pali.
23. Raju S/o Haka Ram, R/o Jato Ki Doran, Sadari, Tehsil Desuri, District Pali.
24. Suresh S/o Mohan Lal, R/o Jato Ki Doran, Sadari, Tehsil Desuri, District Pali.
25. Mahendra S/o Vaga Ram, R/o Jato Ki Doran, Sadari, Tehsil Desuri, District Pali.
26. Bheema Ram S/o Pema Ram, R/o Jato Ki Doran, Sadari, Tehsil Desuri, District Pali.
27. Jogaram S/o Saka Ram, R/o Jato Ki Doran, Sadari, Tehsil Desuri, District Pali.
28. Bhura Ram S/o Khimaram, R/o Village Mandigarh, Tehsil Desuri, District Pali.
29. Jagdish S/o Bhura Ram, R/o Village Mandigarh, Tehsil Desuri, District Pali.
30. Sukharam S/o Bhura Ram, R/o Village Mandigarh, Tehsil Desuri, District Pali.
31. Jagdish S/o Bhoma Ram, R/o Village Mandigarh, Tehsil Desuri, District Pali.
32. Babu Ji S/o Unknown, R/o Jeeva, Tehsil Desuri, District Pali.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Pratap Singh

For Respondent(s) : Mr. V.S. Rajpurohit, PP

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BALJINDER SINGH SANDHU
(VACATION JUDGE)**

Order

25/06/2026

The criminal writ petition has been preferred by the petitioners under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking direction for being provided with adequate security and protection.

The petitioners both being major persons, claim to be in a live in relationship. They submit that they are living with each other against the wishes of their parents and thus, they apprehend threat to their lives at the hands of private respondents. The petitioners allegedly approached the respondent police authorities with a prayer to be provided with adequate protection but no heed has been paid to the request so far.

The documents pertaining to the age of the petitioners and live-in-relationship agreement have been filed on record. Thus, taking cue from the judgment rendered by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Lata Singh Vs. State of U.P.*** reported in ***AIR 2006 SC 2522***, the prayer made by the petitioners for directing the Superintendent of Police/Commissioner of Police concerned to provide protection to the petitioners deserves to be accepted.

The Superintendent of Police/Commissioner of Police concerned shall have the matter enquired into and if so required, appropriate protection shall be provided to the petitioners as and when warranted. The Superintendent of Police/Commissioner of



Police concerned shall ensure that no harm is caused to the petitioners, who are in a live in relationship.

The criminal writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

(BALJINDER SINGH SANDHU), VJ

319-Arjun/-