

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Writ Petition No. 2920/2026

1. Pramila D/o Jagram, Aged About 20 Years, Resident of Hukmoni Khocho Ki Dhani, Malpura, Barmer.
2. Vija Ram S/o Khanga Ram, Aged About 35 Years, Resident Of Dhudiya Moti Singh, Dhudiya Moti Singh, Barmer.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Chief Secretary, Ministry Of Home Affairs, Jaipur (Rajasthan).
2. The Inspector General Of Police, Jodhpur Range, Jodhpur.
3. The Superintendent Of Police, Barmer
4. The Superintendent Of Police, Balotra
5. The Station House Officer, Of Police Station Sindhari, District Balotra.
6. Vala Ram S/o Sona Ram, Resident Of Village Adel, Rgt, Gudamalani, Barmer.
7. Kabu Devi W/o Vala Ram, Resident Of Village Adel, Rgt, Gudamalani, Barmer.
8. Ganpat Ram S/o Vana Ram, Resident Of Village Adel, Rgt, Gudamalani, Barmer.
9. Kumba Ram S/o Khiya Ram, Resident Of Village Adel, Rgt, Gudamalani, Barmer.
10. Bhina Ram S/o Daya Ram, Resident Of Village Adel, Rgt, Gudamalani, Barmer.
11. Tipu Ram S/o Khiya Ram, Resident Of Village Adel, Rgt, Gudamalani, Barmer.
12. Khagara Ram S/o Uka Ram, Resident Of Dhudiya Moti Singh, Police Station Sindhari, District Balotra
13. Galba Ram S/o Khagara Ram, Resident Of Dhudiya Moti Singh, Police Station Sindhari, District Balotra.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Kishan Lal

For Respondent(s) : Mr. V.S. Rajpurohit, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BALJINDER SINGH SANDHU
(VACATION JUDGE)

Order

25/06/2026

The criminal writ petition has been preferred by the petitioners under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking direction for being provided with adequate security and protection.

The petitioners both being major persons, claim to be in a live in relationship. They submit that they are living with each other against the wishes of their parents and thus, they apprehend threat to their lives at the hands of private respondents. The petitioners allegedly approached the respondent police authorities with a prayer to be provided with adequate protection but no heed has been paid to the request so far.

The documents pertaining to the age of the petitioners and live-in-relationship agreement have been filed on record. Thus, taking cue from the judgment rendered by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Lata Singh Vs. State of U.P.*** reported in ***AIR 2006 SC 2522***, the prayer made by the petitioners for directing the Superintendent of Police/Commissioner of Police concerned to provide protection to the petitioners deserves to be accepted.

The Superintendent of Police/Commissioner of Police concerned shall have the matter enquired into and if so required, appropriate protection shall be provided to the petitioners as and when warranted. The Superintendent of Police/Commissioner of Police concerned shall ensure that no harm is caused to the petitioners, who are in a live in relationship.



The criminal writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

(BALJINDER SINGH SANDHU), VJ

322-Arjun/-