



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR**



S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 8650/2026
CNR: RJHC010606452026 | URN: CRLMB / 18742U / 2026

Chamkor Singh S/o Lakhvir Singh, Aged About 45 Years, R/o Gali
No. 09, Sundar Nagar, Kotas Kharg, Moga Punjab. (At Present
Lodged In Sub Jail, ratangarh)

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Ravindra Singh for Mr. Kailash Khilery
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Surendra Bishnoi, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP SHAH

Order

23/07/2026

1. The applicant has filed the present bail application under Section 483 of BNSS being aggrieved against the order dated 11.06.2026 passed by the learned Special Judge, NDPS Act, (Additional Sessions Judge), Ratangarh, District Churu, in Criminal Misc. Case No.51/2026, whereby the bail application filed by him under Section 483 B.N.S.S. was rejected. The accused-applicant is behind the bars, pursuant to the F.I.R. No.232/2019 registered at Police Station Ratangarh, District Churu, against him for offence punishable under Sections 8 & 15 of NDPS Act, 1985.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the recovered contraband is below the threshold limit of commercial quantity, inasmuch as 16.200 grams of poppy husk has been recovered. He further submits that the recovery was made from the co-accused,



Lovepreet Singh, who has already been enlarged on bail by a Coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 11.07.2019 passed in S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 8025/2019 (Lovepreet Singh vs. State of Rajasthan). He further submits that the applicant is not having any criminal antecedent of identical nature. He further submits the trial is likely to take a considerable time to conclude. He, therefore, prays that this Court may allow the present bail application.

3. Per contra, learned Public Prosecutor opposes the bail application and submits that though the order impugned specifies that the applicant is not having any criminal antecedent, however, as per the case diary, the applicant is having one criminal antecedent of identical nature inasmuch as a case for offence punishable under the NDPS Act of 1985, registered in the year 2021, is pending against him. He, therefore, prays for rejection of the bail application.

4. Heard learned counsel for the applicant as well as the learned Public Prosecutor and perused the material available on record.

5. Having considered the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, more particularly the facts that the recovered contraband is below the threshold limit of commercial quantity, the recovery was not made from the conscious possession of the applicant, the co-accused, Lovepreet Singh, from whom the recovery has been effected, has already been enlarged on bail by this Court, though the applicant is having one criminal antecedent of identical nature but the same pertains to



the year 2021, and the trial is likely to take a sufficiently long time to conclude, this Court *prima facie* finds it to be a fit case to enlarge the accused-applicant on bail.

6. Thus, without expressing any opinion on merits/demerits of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the accused-applicant on bail.

7. Consequently, the bail application under Section 483 B.N.S.S. is **allowed**. It is ordered that the accused-applicant; ***Chamkor Singh S/o Lakhvir Singh***, arrested in connection with F.I.R. No.232/2019 registered at Police Station Ratangarh, District Churu, shall be released on bail, if not wanted in any other case, provided he furnishes a personal bond of Rs.50,000/- and two sureties of Rs.25,000/- each, to the satisfaction of learned Trial Court, for his appearance before that Court on each & every date of hearing and whenever called upon to do so till completion of the trial.

8. It is further, made clear that findings recorded/observations made herein-above are for limited purposes of adjudication of bail application and the same shall not prejudice the trial of the case in any manner.

(SANDEEP SHAH),J